PIMU EPSILON TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O

VOLUME 4

NUMBER 5

FALL 1966

CONTENTS

General Terms for Repeating Sequences —Steven Minsker	193
The Schroder-Bernstein Theorem —Frank Bernhart	200
Undergraduate Research Project	
Problem Department	205
Book Reviews	211
Books Received for Review	214
Initiates	216

Copyright 1966 by Pi Mu Epsilon Fraternity, Inc.





PT MU EPSILON JOURNAL THE OFFICIAL PUBLICATION OF THE HONORARY MATHEMATICAL FRATERNITY

Seymour Schuster and Richard V. Andree, Editors

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

Roy B. Deal Murray Klamkin

OFFICERS OF THE FRATERNITY

President: J. C. Egyes, University of Kentucky

Vica-President: H. T. Kornes, Louisiana State University

Secretary Treasurer: R. V. Andree, University of Oklahoma

Past-President: J. S. Frame, Michigan State University

COUNCILORS:

L. Earle Bush, Kent State University Roy Dubisch, University of Washington Irving Reiner, University of Illinois

R. H. Sorgenfrey, University of California at L.A.

Chapter reports, books for review, problems for solution and solutions to problems, and news items should be mailed directly to the special editors found in this issue under the various sections. Editorial correspondence, including manuscripts, should be mailed to THE EDITOR OF THE PI MU EPSILON JOURNAL, Minnemath Center, 720 Washington Avenue S.E., Minneapolis, Minnesota 55414.

PI MU EPSILON JOURNAL is published semi-annually at The University of Oklahoma

SUBSCRIPTION PRICE: To individual members, \$1.50 for 2 years; to non-members and libraries, \$2.00 for 2 years. Subscriptions, orders for back numbers and correspondence concerning subscriptions and advertising should be addressed to the PI MU EPSILON JOURNAL Department of Mathematics, The University of Oklohoma, Norman, Oklohoma, 13069.

GENERAL TERMS FOR REPEATING SEQUENCES

Steven Minsker, Brooklyn College

One of the many beauties of mathematics is that, as a language, it can state succinctly that which otherwise could not be concisely put. The general (or n^{th}) term particularly illustrates this. For instance, instead of exhibiting a sequence to be 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, ..., we can simply say $T_n = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + (-1)^n\right]$, where T_n will henceforth denote the n^{th} term of the sequence in question, or, instead of exhibiting the sequence 1, 5, 7, 31, 65, ... and then having to explain that the odd-numbered terms are equal to one less than two raised to that odd number while the even-numbered terms are equal to one more than two raised to that even number, we may write $T_n = 2^n + (-1)^n$.

The object of this paper is to find, in as "neat" a form as possible, the general term for the repeating sequence:

 b_1 , b_2 , ..., b_1 , a_1 , a_2 , ..., a_k , a_1 , a_2 , ..., a_k , a_1 , a_2 , ..., a_k , ... where, after a finite number (= j) of terms, the sequence repeats itself in blocks of k terms. We shall choose the b's and a's so that they be real numbers. However, our conclusions hold equally well if they are complex numbers. [Note: I have chosen to call a sequence of this type "repeating" and not "recurring" because a recurring sequence has already been understood to be one in which the nth term is a certain linear combination of a fixed number of directly preceding terms, such as Fibonacci's sequence = 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, 34, ... where $T_n = T_{n-1} + T_{n-2}$, $T_1 = 0$, $T_2 = 1$. To find a general term for such a sequence (for the Fibonacci sequence, $T_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n-1} - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^{n-1} \right] \right)$, involves a standard method using scales of relation, which is discussed in "Higher Algebra" by Hall and Knight. Enough said on this topic; however, we may ask the interesting question: If we take Fibonacci's sequence, and from it form a sequence using the last digits of the numbers of the original sequence, that is, 0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 3, 1, 4, ..., what type of sequence is obtained? Readers who like arithmetic may begin by adding.]

Before attacking the problem firsthand, let us consider three examples, the results of which will be useful later on.

Example I. Sequence is a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , a_2 , a_1 , a_2 , ...

What is needed here is some operation which distinguishes between the cases n odd and n even; such an operation is (-1)ⁿ. A little thought yields the result

$$T_n = \frac{1}{2}[1 + (-1)^n]a_n + \frac{1}{2}[1 - (-1)^n]a_1$$
$$= \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} + (-1)^n(\frac{a_2 - a_1}{2})$$

Example II. Sequence is a₁, a₂, a₃, a₄, a₅, a₇, a₈, a₈, a₁, a₁, a₂, ...

What is needed is an operation which distinguishes between the cases n = 1 and n > 1. Such an operation is 2^{n-1} , which yields an odd number for n = 1, an even number for n > 1. Hence, $(-1)^{n-1}$ does the trick:

$$T_{n} = (1 + (-1)^{2^{n-1}}) \frac{a_{2}}{2} + (1 - (-1)^{2^{n-1}}) \frac{a_{1}}{2}$$

$$= \frac{a_{1} + a_{2}}{2} + (-1)^{2^{n-1}} (\frac{a_{2} - a_{1}}{2}) .$$

If we introduce the operation [Z], where [Z] is defined as an integer such that $[z] \leq z \leq [z]+1$, we can get a different n^{th} term above. Considering the sequence 1, 0, 0, 0, ..., we see that the n^{th} term here = $[\frac{1}{n}]$. Since the sequence a_1 , a_1 , a_2 , a_3 , a_4 , a_4 , a_4 , a_4 , and adding a_4 , we get a_1 , a_2 , a_4 , a_4 , a_4 , a_4 , a_4 , and adding a_4 , we get a_4 , a_4

It must be added that the operation [Z] should be used only reluctantly, since, when it is used, one must take pains to say "where [Z] is the greatest integer contained in Z." In fact, whenever possible, use more "commonly known" operations in place of [Z], such as absolute value, as will be presently done.

Example III. Sequence is $a_1, a_1, a_1, \ldots, a_1, a_2, a_2, \ldots$ j terms where $j \geq 2$

Call the n^{th} term of this sequence T_n^t , and consider $0, 0, 0, \ldots, 0, 1, 1, 1, \ldots$

Call the n^{th} term of this sequence \mathbf{T}_n . After some thought, we realize that $\lceil \frac{n-1}{j} \rceil$ has value 0 for $n \leq j$ and equals some positive integer for $n \geq j$, while $\lfloor \frac{j}{n} \rfloor$ has value 0 for $n \geq j$ and equals some positive integer for $n \leq j$. Hence,

$$T_{n} = \frac{\binom{n-1}{j}}{\binom{n-1}{j} + \binom{j}{n}}.$$

However, another operation which distinguishes between the cases $n \leq j$ and $n > j \quad \text{is} \quad \frac{\left|n-j-\frac{1}{2}\right|}{n-j-\frac{\pi}{2}} \text{ , which equals 1 for } n \geq j, \quad -1 \text{ for } n \leq j.$

The factor $\frac{1}{2}$ is introduced to avoid a zero denominator when n = j. We thus obtain

 $T_n = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{|n - j - \frac{1}{2}|}{n - j - \frac{1}{2}}.$

We are now ready to attack the general repeating series. If we can find an n^{th} term for the sequence

the rest will follow, as we shall see later.

When k = 2, we have already seen that $T_n = \frac{4}{2}[1 + (-1)^n]$ in the opening paragraph. consider now k = 4; that is 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, ... Without exploring the motivation we can say that, experimenting with (-1) raised to odd and even powers, we come up with the result:

$$T_{n} = \frac{1}{4} \left[(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} + (-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} + (-1)^{n} + (-1)^{n} + 1 \right].$$

The reader may verify the validity of this general term. The important point, however, is that this result is rather isolated, and the method of using (-1) to various powers does not readily resolve other cases, in particular k=3. Thus, this method will be abandoned in favor of three more general approaches.

A. Observe that $\left[\frac{n}{k}\right] = \frac{n}{k}$ if and only if k divides n. If k does not divide n, then $\left[\frac{n}{k}\right] < \frac{n}{k}$. Since the given sequence is such that $T_b = 0$

for n not divisible by k and $T_n = 1$ for n divisible by k, then $T_n = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{n!}{k} \\ \frac{n}{k} \end{bmatrix}$.

Although this is a nicely compact statement, we shall look for other methods for the reasons mentioned in Example II.

B. We must find an operation which distinguishes between the cases n divisible by k and n not divisible by k. The desired operation, resorting to trigonometry, is $sin(\frac{nn}{k})$. Assume $k \geq 2$, since the case k = 1 (sequence = 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, ...) is solved immediately by $T_n = 1$. Consider:

$$\frac{\sin[(n+1)\frac{\pi}{k}]\sin[(n+2)\frac{\pi}{k}]\cdot\cdot\cdot\sin[(n+k-1)\frac{\pi}{k}]}{\sin(\frac{\pi}{k})\sin(\frac{2\pi}{k})\cdot\cdot\sin((\frac{k-k!}{2})\pi)}$$

If n is not divisible by k, then one of the terms (n+1), (n+2), ..., (n+k-1) must be divisible by k, so that the above expression equals 0. If n is divisible by k (let n = pk), we see that $sin[(n+1)\frac{\pi}{k}] = cos p \pi sin (\frac{i\pi}{k}) = \frac{i\pi}{k}$ if p is even or odd respectively. If p,

therefore, is odd, and k happens to be even, the above expression has value = -1. Since, under the circumstances, we want a value = 1, we can rectify the situation by taking an absolute value of the expression or by squaring it. (It will suffice to do this just to the numerator, but for purposes of symmetry, we will do this to the whole expression.) Squaring, and using product notation:

$$\mathbf{T}_{\mathbf{n}} = \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{k} - 1 \\ \mathbf{l} \\ \mathbf{l} = \mathbf{l} \end{array} \qquad \frac{\sin^{a} \left[(\mathbf{n} + \mathbf{l}) \frac{\pi}{\mathbf{k}} \right]}{\sin^{2} \left[\mathbf{l} \cdot \frac{\pi}{\mathbf{k}} \right]}$$

<u>C</u>. A third method involves complex numbers. If we take the first of the k^{th} roots of unity = $w = \cos \frac{2\pi}{k} + i \sin \frac{2\pi}{k}$, then $w^{b} = 1$ if and only if n is a multiple of k. (This follows from De Moiore's Theorem.) It immediately becomes evident that:

$$T_{n} = \frac{(w^{n} - w)(w^{n} - w^{2}) \cdot \cdot \cdot (w^{n} - w^{k-1})}{(1 - w)(1 - w^{2}) \cdot \cdot \cdot (1 - w^{k-1})}$$

If n is not a multiple of k, one of the terms in the numerator must equal 0; if n is a multiple of k, then $\mathbf{w}^n = 1$ and $\mathbf{T}_n = 1$.

The remaining problem is one of simplification. The numerator is a polynomial in the variable \mathbf{w}^n with roots $= \mathbf{w}, \ \mathbf{w}^2, \ \dots, \ \mathbf{w}^{k-1}$. From the theory of complex variables, $(\mathbf{w}^n)^k - 1 = 0$ is the polynomial in the variable \mathbf{w}^n with roots $= \mathbf{w}, \ 2, \ \dots, \ \mathbf{w}^{k-1}, \ 1$. Dividing synthetically by 1, we can obtain the polynomial with roots $= \mathbf{w}, \ \mathbf{w}^2, \ \dots, \ \mathbf{w}^{k-1}$:

Hence the numerator of the general term = $(w^n)^{k-1} + (w^n)^{k-2} + \cdots + w^n + 1$. The denominator is obtained by replacing w^n by 1, so that it = $1^{k-1} + 1^{k-2} + \cdots + 1^{k-1} + 1 = k$.

In place of the "1" in the numerator, write w for symmetry, so that:

$$T_n = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{k=0}^{k-1} (\cos \frac{2\pi}{k} + i \sin \frac{2\pi}{k})^{kn}.$$

But by De Moiore's Theorem:

$$T_n = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \cos \frac{2\pi \ell n}{k} + \frac{i}{k} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \sin \frac{2\pi \ell n}{k}$$

For N a positive integer, T_n must be real, so that $\sum_{k=0}^{k-1} \sin \frac{2\pi kn}{k} = 0 \quad \text{for such n.}$ It can, in fact, be shown that this sum $\equiv 0$ for all real n. We may thus write:

$$T_n = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{\ell=0}^{k-1} \cos \frac{2\pi \ell n}{k}$$

The reader, using the identity

$$2 \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta \left[\sum_{k=0}^{k-1} \cos k \theta \right] = \sin(k - \frac{1}{2})\theta + \sin \frac{1}{2} \theta$$

can easily verify this general term.

We now want the general term for:

$$a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, \ldots$$

Call the general term T_n^* . Note that, in any sequence's general term, substituting (n+1) in place of n shifts the sequence one term to the left; that is, for example, if T_n is the n^{th} term of

then the general term of

is
$$T_{(n+1)}$$
, Since

$$(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k) = a_k (0, 0, \ldots, 0, 1) = a_{k-1} (0, 0, \ldots, 1, 0) = a_k (0, 1, \ldots, 0, 0) = a_k (1, 0, \ldots, 0,$$

it follows that

$$T_n^* = a_k T_n + a_{k-1} T_{n+1} + \cdots + a_2 T_{n+k-2} + a_1 T_{n+k-1}$$

We have already determined Tn in sections B and C.

Using the value obtained in section B, we get:

$$T_n^{\star} = \sum_{i=1}^k \left[a_i \prod_{\ell=1}^{k-1} \frac{\sin^2\left[(n+\ell+k-i)\frac{\pi}{k}\right]}{\sin^2\left[\ell\frac{\pi}{k}\right]} \right].$$

However, $\sin \left[(n + \ell + k - i) \frac{\pi}{k} \right] = \sin \left[n + \ell - i \right] \frac{\pi}{k}$ so

(I)
$$T_n^* = \sum_{i=1}^k \left[a_i \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} \frac{\sin^2 \left[(n+l-i)\frac{\pi}{k} \right]}{\sin^2 \left[l \frac{\pi}{k} \right]} \right].$$

Or, in a completely analogous fashion, using the result of section C:

(II)
$$T_n^* = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[a_i \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[a_i \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left[a_i \right] \right] \right]$$

At this point, the reader may wish to verify that the sequence $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \ldots$ (that is, the case k=2) has the general term (using equation I) of:

$$a_1 \sin^a \left[\frac{\pi n}{2}\right] + a_2 \sin^2 \left[\frac{(n-1)\pi}{2}\right]$$

= $a_1 \sin^2 \left(\frac{\pi n}{2}\right) + a_2 \cos^2 \left(\frac{\pi n}{2}\right)$

and (using equation II) of:

$$\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} + [\cos (\pi n)] \cdot (\frac{a_2 - a_1}{2})$$

Compare these with Example I.

Now consider the final result desired, the n^{th} term of $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_1, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, \ldots$ We already know how to distinguish between the cases n-j and n-j (See Example III). For now, consider the individual cases $n=1,2,\ldots,j$. We first desire an expression which equals 1 when n=1 and which equals 0 for $n=2,3,\ldots,j$. This requires no new ingenuity; such an expression is similar in form to the ones originally formed in sections B and C.

$$\frac{(n-2)(n-3)\cdot \cdot \cdot (n-j)}{(1-2)(1-3)\cdot \cdot \cdot (1-j)}$$

(If j = 1, then let this expression be 1 identically.)

Similarly, for the particular case n = 2, consider:

$$\frac{(n-1)(n-3)(n-4)\cdot\cdot(n-j)}{(2-1)(2-3)(2-4)\cdot\cdot(2-j)}$$

In an analogous fashion, we develop "coefficients" for the cases $n=3,\ 4,\ \ldots,\ j$. Now, starting with n=j+1, we wish to obtain the sequence $a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3,\ \ldots,\ a_n,\ a_1,\ a_2,\ \ldots,\ a_k,\ \ldots$. This can be easily accomplished, however, by substituting (n-j) for n in either equation I or II. After such a substitution, we will get $T_{\binom{n-1}{2}}=a_2$ when n=j+1, $T^*_{\binom{n-1}{2}}=a_2$ when n=j+2, etc. When $n\leq j$, we get $T^*_{\binom{n}{2}}=a_1$ but $T^*_{\binom{n}{2}}=a_2$ but $T^*_{\binom{n}{2}}=a_2$ botiously exists for negative n and, moreover, we will have a multiplier in front which equals 0 for $n\leq j$.

Thus, we are now ready to establish the final result. The general (n^{th}) term for the sequence $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_1, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k, \ldots$, which is denoted by T_n , is given by the equation

$$T_{n} = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \frac{|n-j-\frac{1}{2}|}{n-j-\frac{1}{2}}) \left(\frac{(n-2)(n-3)\cdots(n-j)}{(1-2)(1-3)\cdots(1-j)} b_{1} + \frac{(n-1)(n-3)\cdots(n-j)}{(2-1)(2-3)\cdots(2-j)} b_{2} + \cdots + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)\cdots(n-[j-1])}{(j-1)(j-2)\cdots(j-[j-1])} b_{3} \right) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{|n-j-\frac{1}{2}|}{n-j-\frac{1}{2}}) T_{(n-j)}^{*}$$

where T* is defined by either equation I or II

THE SCHRÖDER-BERNSTEIN THEOREM

Frank Bernhart, The University of Oklahoma

A cardinal number is any number which is used to answer the question "How many?" A good part of the mathematical history of numbers has been taken up with finding adequate symbolization for expressing finite cardinal numbers. The numerals used in counting form an ordered array, 1, 2. 3, ..., but they all belong to the set N of natural numbers. But the awareness of the natural numbers as a group invites us to ask 'How many natural numbers are there?', and the answer is not a natural number! We might also ask how many real numbers there are, and whether there are more real numbers than natural numbers. To say only 'They are infinite' is a weak answer to 'How many' and hardly touches the question 'Is this infinity more than that?'.

The modern notion of a cardinal number runs something like this:

- 1. We talk about sets, especially sets of numbers. To every set there should be associated a cardinal number. It is intended that two sets should have the same cardinal number if and only if they have the "same number" of elements.
- 2. If sets can be organized into groups of the "same number" without using anything called a cardinal number, then it will be possible to assign a cardinal number to the sets of each group. What these cardinal numbers are is of no particular importance if they can easily be identified with the proper group, and can be distinguished among themselves.
- 3. The usual choice for cardinal number of a class of sets is that class itself. For example, the cardinal 1 is just the class of all sets which contain but a single element. To be sure, other definitions of cardinal number may serve as well. The cardinal number of a class of sets might be one of those sets, picked to represent the
- We have put off discussing what we could use to define the groupings. The criterion of "sameness" or equivalence, as it is more often called, is this: If there exists a way to pair off the elements in one set with the elements of another set so that each element in each set is matched with exactly one element of the other, the sets are equivalent. In other words, to say that sets X and Y are equivalent, written (X ~ Y) means there exists a one-to-one mapping, or function, from X onto Y. It may seem perhaps strange that mappings are considered more basic than cardinal numbers, but that is the modern viewpoint, and it works very well.

- 5. Equivalence $(X \sim Y)$ defines a relation between sets. It has the three properties we would expect of an equivalence relation.
 - (E1) $X \sim X$ (for all X). Reflexivity.
 - (E2) If $X \sim Y$, then Y = X. Symmetry.
 - (E3) If X = Y, and Y = Z, then $X \sim Z$. Transitivity.

These properties are sufficient to separate all sets into equivalence classes (or cardinal numbers). Essentially, X ~ Y if and only if X and Y belong to the same cardinal number.

Nothing more than the above idea would be needed if the relation X - Y was all we had to contend with. We could take the infinite sets we know and show which were equivalent and which were not. But let us now ask how to tell when one cardinal number is more than another. Consider the following mapping from N onto a subset of N.

$$f(n) = n + 3$$
 n $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{2}{5}, \frac{3}{6}, \frac{4}{7}, \frac{5}{8}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{5}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4},$

If N^* is the set of values f(n), then $N \sim N^*$, even though N^* is a proper subset of N. Now Cantor showed that R ~ N is false, where R denotes the set of real numbers. But the mapping $f(n) = \pi^n$ from N to R identifies N with, or carries N onto a proper subset R* of R, and is one-to-one. That is, N $= \mathbb{R}^*$ and $\mathbb{R}^* \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. What do we wish to conclude? Simply that if $\mathbf{X} \sim \mathbf{Y}^*$ where Y* is a subset of Y, 'then X certainly cannot have a bigger cardinal number than Y. Let X denote the cardinal number to which Xbelongs, and similarly for \overline{Y} , etc. We have seen that $\overline{X} = \overline{Y}$ if and only if X - Y. We are now defining $\bar{\chi} < \bar{v}$ to mean that there is a subset Y* of Y and $\chi \sim Y^*$. Actually the properties of sets should be invoked to show that the ordering of cardinal numbers \overline{X} , \overline{Y} does not depend on the particular sets X, Y, representative of $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{y}}$, that are chosen. But it is true, and we will now simply write $X \le y$ to save on notation. So we define X < Y to mean there exists a one-to-one mapping from X onto a subset of Y (into Y). Concisely X < Yto means "there is some Y* and X ~ Y* c Y".

We have suggested that with the relation X < Y cardinal numbers can be ordered. Let us examine that supposition by looking at the properties order must have. (Since we are using sets instead of the cardinal numbers, $X \sim Y$ will occur where we would expect $X = Y_{\bullet}$)

- (01) $X \le X$ (for all X). Reflexivity.
- (02) If $X \le Y$ and $Y \le Z$, then $X \le Z$. Transitivity. (03) If $X \le Y$ and $Y \le X$, then X = Y. Anti-symmetry.
- (04) $X \le Y$ and $Y \le X$ not both false. (Used only for strong order.)

As it turns out, \leq is a well-defined order, for all four properties are true--however, (01) and (02) are trivial, but (03) and (04) are not. We will say no more about (04) since it requires the Axiom of Choice before it can be proved. It is the difficulty of (03) that interests us. Let us try to'see it more clearly with an example. Let Q denote the positive rational numbers.

$$f: N \to Q$$
 $f(n) = n$ Therefore $N \le Q$ (Both f and g are one-to-one)
$$g: Q = N \qquad g(a/b) = 2^a 3^b$$
 Therefore $Q \le N$

We see that neither f nor g will do to establish N \sim Q. We need another mapping h, from N onto, not into, Q. To prove property (03) we need to show that given f and g (X \leq Y and Y \leq X), it is always possible to find an h such that X $\stackrel{\blacksquare}{}$ Y. The proof of 'If X \leq Y and Y \leq X, then X \sim Y' is called the Schröder-Bernstein Theorem. It is a notable theorem of elementary cardinal number theory. Like many theorems in mathematics, it establishes a result that seems plausible or even obvious, but really requires some ingenuity to prove. The converse of the Schröder-Bernstein, 'If X \sim Y, then X \leq Y and Y \leq X', is trivial, since X $\stackrel{\blacksquare}{}$ Y \subseteq Y and Y \sim X \subseteq X, X and Y being subsets of themselves.

proof of the Schroder-Bernstein Theorem

Let us write $(X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots) = (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots)$ to mean $X_1 = Y_1$, $X_2 \sim Y_2$, $X_3 = Y_3$, etc and write $(-)(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, \dots)$ to mean that $X_1 = X_3$ for every i and j.

To show 'If $X \subseteq Y$ and $Y \subseteq X$, then $X \sim Y$.'

The sum of this information can be visualized in Fig. 1. We see that by $f:X^* - (Y^* - C_1)$, or $X^* < Y^*$; also by $g:Y^* - (X^* - B_1)$ or $Y^* \le X^*$.

Now define a function h from X^* to Y^* (See Fig. 2).

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in A \text{ or } x \in B \\ y, & \text{where } y \text{ is the one element of } Y^* \text{ such that } g(y) = x, \\ & \text{if } x \in C \end{cases}$$

That is, h is the function indicated by $(A,B_1,C_1,B_2,C_2,B_3,...) \sim (A',B_1',C_1',B_2',C_2',B_3',...)$. Clearly h gives $X^* \sim Y^*$.

The results so far are suspiciously like what we wish to prove for \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} . The space taken with X^* and Y^* will be justified if we show that $X = X^*$ and $\mathbf{Y} = Y^*$ for suitably chosen sets $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{A}', \mathbf{B}_1, \mathbf{B}_1'$, etc.

Let f and g be the mappings which exist by virtue of $\mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{Y} \leq \mathbf{X}$. Let us suppose, as we may, that $\mathbf{f}: \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{Y}_1 \subset \mathbf{Y}$, and $\mathbf{g}: \mathbf{Y} \sim \mathbf{X}_1 \subset \mathbf{X}$. Define $\mathbf{B}_1 = (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}_1)$; $\mathbf{C}_1^1 = (\mathbf{Y} - \mathbf{Y}_1)$. Then define inductively the other sets in the $\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{B}^1, \mathbf{C}^1$, series by the formulas:

$$\begin{cases} f: B_k \to B_k^k & \{g: C_k^i \to C_k \\ g: B_k^i \to B_{k+1} \end{cases} \qquad \begin{cases} g: C_k^i \to C_k \\ f: C_1 \to C_{k+1}^i \end{cases} \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

All the sets defined are distinct, because f and g are one-to-one functions. Otherwise, working backward in the formulas, if B_{j+1} were not distinct from B_{j+k+1} , then B_j and B_{j+k} would have overlapped too, and then B_j and B_{j+k} , and so on until we found that B_1 and B_k had elements in common. But this is impossible, since B_k , k # 1, was defined by the mapping g, whereas B_1 is not included in the mapping g by definition. That is, $B_1 = X - X_1$, but all other $B_k \subseteq X_1$. The same tale can be told to cover all possibilities, so that we are sure of A_1B_1, C_1, B_2, \ldots and A', B_1', C_1', B_1, \ldots each being distinct collections.

As an easy corollary to these definitions we see that $C_k^{\dagger} - C_k \sim C_{k+1}^{\dagger}$ and $B_k \sim B_k^{\dagger} - B_{k+1}$. By repeated use of property (E3) of the relation \sim we establish that (\sim) (B₁, B₁, B₂, B₂, ...) and (\sim) (C₁, C₁, C₂, C₃, ...). Let $B = U(B_k)$, $C = U(C_k)$, $B' = U(B_k)$, and $C' = U(C_k)$. Then we see that if we let $A = X - (B \cup C)$ and $A' = Y - U(C_k)$. Then we see that if we let $A = X - (B \cup C)$ and $A' = Y - (B' \cup C')$, it must be true that f : A - A' and g : A' - A, hence $A \sim A'$.

The assumption that $X = X^*$ and $Y = Y^*$ is now justified by the choice of the sets A, A', etc. and the demonstration that the choice was in line with the requirements on these sets at the beginning of the proof. The Theorem is now proved.

The Schröder-Bernstein Theorem is not hard to prove, once it is seen that the mappings f and g derived from $\mathbf{X} \leq \mathbf{Y}$ and $\mathbf{Y} \leq \mathbf{X}$ actually disect X and \mathbf{Y} into parts precisely as is displayed in Fig. 1. For it is an easy step from Fig. 1 to Fig. 2. This seems long because it takes space to write down all the information contained in Fig. 1 in a rigorous manner.

There is one other reason why the proof was offered in more detail than necessary. It is important to see how this trick with prechosen sets characterizes and makes transparent the core of the proof. Over the years, since proof was first supplied independently by Schröder and by Bernstein in 1896 and 1899, various proofs have been found which are in the literature. But these have the disadvantage of appearing to use independent means of arriving at the conclusion, and both the proofs themselves, and their interrelations are not always obvious. The proof given here was developed from a comparative study, and should not only show the method clearly, but by comparison reveal that all the proofs in the literature studied by this author (about 5) are totally equivalent. All produce exactly the same mapping h. (Any property of the elements under the mapping which allow A, B, C and A', B', C' to be distinguished will provide a proof. A study of Fig. 1 can suggest several such properties, such as the "chain" idea used by Birkhoff-Maclane.) To my knowledge this powerful observation seems not to have been made explicitly.

Reading Suggestions

- 1. Brewer, Joseph, <u>Introduction to the Theory of Sets</u> (page 41).
- 2. Stoll, Robert, Set Theory and Logic (page 81).
- 3. Suppes, Patrick, Axiomatic Set Theory (page 94).
- 4. Quine, W. v. O., Set Theory and its Logic (page 204).

* * *

UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH PROJECT

Proposed by PAUL SAMUEL, Minneapolis.

H. S. M. Coxeter, in his Real Projective plane, shows how to modify his axiom system in order to develop Complex Plane Projective Geometry Carry out this synthetic development (or a portion thereof) and contrast it with the development in projective Geometry by Veblen and Young. What has to be added to Coxeter's axiom system in order to extend it to three-dimensional Complex Projective Geometry? Are any of his axioms rendered dependent by the ones you have added?

PROBLEM DEPARTMENT

Edited by M. S. Klamkin, Ford Scientific Laboratory

This department welcomes problems believed to be new and, as a rule, demanding no greater ability in problem solving that that of the average member of the Fraternity, but occasionally we shall publish problems that should challenge the ability of the advanced undergraduate and/or candidate for the Master's Degree. **Solutions** of these problems should be submitted on separate, signed sheets within four months after publication.

An asterisk (*) placed beside a problem number indicates that the problem was submitted without a solution.

Address all communications concerning problems to M. S. Klamkin, Ford Scientific Laboratory, P. O. Box 2053, Dearborn, Michigan 48121.

PROBLEMS FOR SOLUTION

182. Proposed by GABRIEL ROSENBERG, Temple University.

Prove that an odd perfect number cannot be a perfect square.

Editorial Note: Although Euler established the more general result that every odd perfect number must be of the form $p^{4a+1}k^2$ where p is a prime of the form 4n+1, the above problem is given since it has an elegant solution in addition to the fact that there is a shortage of proposals.

183. Proposed by R. PENNEY, Ford Scientific Laboratory.

If
$$r_0(r_1r_2 + r_2r_3 + r_3r_1) = 0 ,$$

$$r_1(r_0r_2 + r_0r_3 - r_2r_3) = 0 ,$$

$$r_2(r_0r_3 + r_0r_1 - r_3r_1) = 0 ,$$

$$r_3(r_0r_1 + r_0r_2 - r_1r_2) = 0 ,$$

show that at least one of the quantities ro, r, r2, r3 vanishes.

184. Proposed by ALAN LAMBERT, University of Miami.

Find a parametric representation for the transcendental curve given by the equation

$$x^{y} = y^{x}$$

185. Proposedby A. E. LIVINGSTON and L. MOSER, University of Alberta, Edmonton.

Given:

$$f(n) = f(n + 3), \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

$$f(1) = 1$$
 , $\sum_{n=1}^{m} f(n)/n = 0$.

Find f(2).

186. Proposed by LT. JONES-BATEMAN, U.S.C.G. and M. S. KLAMKIN, Ford Scientific Laboratory.

Two ships are steaming along with constant velocities. What is the minimum number of bearings necessary to be taken by one ship in order to determine the velocity of the other ship? Given this requisite number of bearings, show how to determine the other ship's velocity. (It is assumed that range-finding equipment is either non-existent or non-operative.)

SOLUTIONS

172. Proposed by JOHN BAUDHUIN, Sparta High School (student), Sparta, Wisconsin.

Given: Semi-circle O with diameter AB and equilateral triangle PAB; C and D are trisection points of \widehat{AB} (i.e., $\widehat{AC} = \widehat{CD} = \widehat{DB}$).

Prove: E and F are trisection points

of AB.

Note: A synthetic proof is desired.

Solution by Gabriel Rosenberg, Temple University

By Symmetry, AE = FB and OE = OF. Since \angle AOC = \angle EAB = 60° , \triangle AEP $\overline{}$ A EOC. Since also, CO = $\frac{1}{2}$ AP, OE = $\frac{1}{2}$ AE and thus, E and F are trisection points of AB.

Joe Konhauser, University of Minnesota, notes that if \overline{AB} is divided into n equal segments and then equilateral triangles are erected on these segments as bases, the lines joining P to the vertices off AB will (n + 1)-sect \overline{AB} . The proposed problem corresponds to n = 2.

He also notes that the problem has appeared previously in the Mathematics Teacher, Nov. 1953, p. 524. There the construction was submitted by R. J. Orr, Iowa State Teachers College and was originated and solved by Patty Hake, a student.

Also solved by P. J. Campbell (University of Dayton), R. C. Gebhardt (Parsippany, N. J.), R. Prielipp (University of Wisconsin), W. J. Rickert (Rutgers - The State University), N. H. Sleep (Michigan State University), S. Spital (California State Polytechnic college), C. W. Trigg (San Diego, Cal.) and the proposer.

Editorial Note: If the semi-circle AB and diameter AB are both n-sected and corresponding points are joined by straight lines, the lines will only be concurrent for n = 3 or 4.

173. Proposed by K. S. MURRAY, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

If

$$D^{n}\left(\Phi(x)/x\right) = \Psi_{n}(x)/x^{n+1},$$

show that

$$D\Psi_n(x) = x^n D^{n+1} \Phi(x) .$$

Solution by J. R. Kuttler and N. Rubinstein, University of Maryland

$$D^{n+1}[\Phi(x)/x] = \Psi_n^1/x^{n+1} - (n+1)\Psi_n/x^{n+2}$$

or

$$\Psi_n^i = x^{n+1}D^{n+1}[\Phi(x)/x] + (n+1)x^nD^n[\Phi(x)/x]$$
.

Then by Leibniz's rule for the nth derivative of the product $x \cdot \Phi(x)/x$

$$D\Psi_n(x) = x^n D^{n+1} \Phi(x) .$$

Also solved by H. Kaye (Brooklyn, N.Y.), and the proposer.

Editorial Note: Also by Leibniz's rule,

$$\Psi_{n}(x) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^{r} {n \choose r} x^{n-r} D^{n-r} \Phi(x)$$

and the result follows by differentiation.

Additionally, since

$$\Psi_{n+1}^{i} = \times \Psi_{n}^{n} - n \Psi_{n}^{i} ,$$

the solution of the differential-difference equation

$$F_{n+1} = xF_1' - nF_1 , F_0 = F_0(x),$$

is given by

$$F_n(x) = x^n D^n F_0(x).$$

174. Proposed by C. S. VENKATARAMAN, Sree Kerzala Varma College, Trichur, South India.

Find the locus of a point which moves such that the squares of the lengths of the tangents from it to three coplanar circles are in arithmetic progression.

Solution by Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California.

Let the circles be

$$x^2 + y^2 + a_1x + b_1y + c_1 = 0$$
, $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Then the tangents from ${\bf the}$ point $~{\bf P}\left({\bf x},{\bf y}\right)~$ to the circles are given by

$$t_i^2 = x^2 + y^2 + a_i x + b_i y + c_i$$
.

Since the squares of the tangents are to be in arithmetic progression,

$$2ti = t_1^2 + t_2^2$$
.

Thus the locus of P is the straight line

$$(2a_2 - a_1 - a_3)x + (2b_2 - b_1 - b_3)y + 2c_2 - c_1 - c_3 = 0$$
.

Also solved by P. Meyers (Philadelphia, Pa.), M. Wagner (N.Y.C.), ${\bf F}$. Zetto (Chicago, 111.) and the proposer.

175. Proposed by R. C. GEBHARDT, Parsippany, New Jersey.

The twenty-one dominoes of a set may be denoted by (1,1), (1,2), \cdots (1,6), (2,6), \cdots , (6,6).

- (a) Is there any arrangement of these, end-to-end with adjacent ends matching, such as · · · (3,1) (1,1) (1,6) (6,4) · · · , such that all twenty-one dominoes may be involved?
- (b) What conditions must a general set of dominoes satisfy in order that such an arrangement in (a) exists?

<u>Editorial Note:</u> A related problem would be to find the largest and the smallest completed chain which can be formed with a given set of general dominoes.

Solution by Craig A. Kalicki, John Carroll University.

Each digit appears seven times. Any digits that are strictly interior to the chain must occur an even number of times. Thus if a given digit is to appear an odd number of times it must occur at an end point. Since there are only two end points, there is no such arrangement.

In general, the chain can be constructed from the set $(I,1),\cdots,(n,n)$ if and only if n is odd or n=2.

Solution by Neal Felsinger, SUNY at Buffalo.

Consider a set of points P $(r=1,2,\ldots,n)$ in general position in space. If (1,j) is a domino used in the arrangement, draw a line between P_1 and P_3 . For the domino (i,i), draw a line from P_1 to itself. The stated problem has now been reduced to traversing the network of lines such that each line is traced exactly once. Using Euler's results, the network must be connected and at most two vertices can be odd. Translated to dominoes, you must be able to get from one number to any other number by placing dominoes end to end (connectiveness) and there can be at most two numbers occurring an odd number of times. For the set of dominoes (1,1), ..., (n,n), this is possible, if and only if, n is odd or n=2.

Also solved by ${\bf C.}$ W. Trigg (San Diego, Cal.), M. Wagner,(N.Y.C.) and the proposer.

Editorial Note: The "smallest" chain that can be formed from the dominoes (1,1), ..., (n,n) is 2n-2 (n-odd) and 2n-1 (n-even) (Note that a chain is incomplete if another domino can be added).

The problem of determining the largest chain is equivalent to finding the maximum number of edges in an **Eulerian** tour of a complete graph of n vertices. If n is odd it follows from above that the number is $\binom{n}{2}$, the entire set of edges. If n is even, the number is $\binom{n}{2} - \binom{n}{2} - 1$.

176. Proposed by M. S. KLAMKIN, Ford Scientific Laboratory.

Determine all continuous functions F(x) in [0,1], if possible, such that $F(x^2) = F(x)^2$ and

- (a) F(0) = F(1) = 0,
- (b) F(0) = F(1) = 1,
- (d) F(0) = 0, F(1) = 1
- (d) F(0) = 1, F(1) = 0.

Solution by K. S. Murray, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Clearly,
$$F(x^2) = F(x)^2$$
.

Thus for $0 \le x \le 1$,

limit
$$x^2 = 0$$
, limit $x^{a^{-n}} = 1$, $n \rightarrow \infty$

limit
$$F(x)^{2^{n}} = \begin{cases} & \text{if } |F(x)| > 1 \text{,} \\ & 1 \text{ if } |F(x)| = 1 \text{,} \\ & 0 \text{ if } |F(x)| < 1 \text{,} \end{cases}$$
limit $F(x)^{2^{-n}} = 1$ if $F(x) > 0$.

Since F(x) is continuous, we then must have

- (a) F(x) = 0,
- (b) F(x) = 1,
- (d) impossible.

For case (c), there are infinitely many functions satisfying the requirements. One simple example is $F(x) = x^C$. For a wider class of functions, arbitrarily define F(x) in the interval $[\frac{1}{n^2}, \frac{1}{n}]$ (n 1) such that it is continuous and that $F(1/n^2) = F(1/n)^2$. Then, F(x) is continuous and defined over the interval [0,1] by means of the functional relation $F(x^2) = F(x)^2$.

Also solved by R. C. Gebhardt (Parsippany, N. J.), and $F \boldsymbol{.}$ Zetto (Chicago, 111.) and the proposer.

1967 HEEDING

Your chapter is encouraged to nominate your best speaker who will HOT have a masters degree by April, 1967, as a speaker for the Pi Nu Ensilon meetings to be held in Toronto, Canada, August 28-29, 1967. As usual the national office will pay partial expenses for speakers. Nominations should be submitted by February 25, 1967, and mailed to Dr. Richard V. Andree, Pi INu Epsilon, The University of Oklahoma, Norman, Oklahoma 73069.

BOOK REVIEWS

Edited by Roy B. Deal, Oklahoma State University

<u>Approximation</u> of <u>Functions</u>. By **G. G. Lorentz.** Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, Athena Series.

An excellent book for Pi Mu Epsilon members who know some advanced calculus and can pick up, occasionally, some elementary theory of functions of a real variable, having interest for many mathematics, physical science, and engineering majors. It has modern results and modern treatments of classical results in approximations of functions, mostly real, with elegant, simple proofs and excellent exposition, including enlightening, historical notes or concept remarks, interesting exercises, and a good bibliography. Except for some results on Chebyshev systems, <code>Kolmogorov's</code> concept of entropy, and a few <code>auxilliary</code> notions on polynomials and moduli of continuity the central notion is that of degree of approximation.

Square Summable Power Series. By de Branges and James Rovnyak. New York, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Athena Series, 1966. viii + 104 pp.

An introduction to complex complete vector spaces with scalar products (Hilbert spaces). In particular the so called $\mathbf{1_2}$ space of sequences for which the sum of squares of the absolute values converges, with a fairly extensive treatment of invariant subspaces using the concepts developed by considering the sequences as coefficients of a formal power series. This leads to a fairly complete introduction to complex function theory in the second part of the book. The approach is basically to develop the material through an interesting selection of problems which is good for self-study for those with the motivation and perseverance. It is a necessary prerequisite to the paper on "Canonical Models in Quantum Scattering Theory" in the proceedings on "Peturbation Theory and its Applications in Quantum Mechanics" Listed in the "Books Received" list in this journal.

The Elements Of Integration. By Robert G. Bartle. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966. x + 129 pp., \$6.95.

A well written "short but thorough treatment of Lebesgue integration", containing its own development of measure concepts needed, for the reader with an advanced calculus background.

Elementary Differential Geometry. By Barrett O'Neill. New York, Academic Press, Inc., 1966. viii + 411.

A study of the classical geometry of curves and surfaces designed to introduce the reader to some basic ideas in the rapidly growing fields of modern differential geometry and differential topology. Except for the maturity sufficient to accept an abstract definition of a familiar concept, a strong elementary calculus course and some linear algebra provides an adequate background.

Theory and Problems of General Topology. By Seymour Lipschutz. New York, Schaum Publishing Company, 1965. 239 pp., \$3.50.

With the exceptions of filters, nets, and uniform **spaces** (uniform concepts in metric and normed spaces are included) this book provides a rather thorough self-study introduction to the general topology necessary to studying analysis including some function space theory. It is within the reach of any well motivated undergraduate and provides an excellent background for advanced calculus.

Elementary Probability. By Edward 0. Thorp. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966. x + 152 pp., \$4.95.

A brief but excellent introduction to probability theory by the professor famous for beating the Nevada casinos at blackjack. The first three chapters provide the fundamental concepts in the discrete case with many interesting examples and exercises, requiring very little mathematical background. Elementary calculus suffices for the last chapter which gives a short provocative basic introduction to continuous probability. problems designed for computer use are included with very little programming knowledge required.

<u>Linear Geometry.</u> By Rafael Artzy. Reading, Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1965. ix + 273 pp.

<u>The Geometry of Incidence</u>. By Harold L. Dorwart. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1966. xvii + 156 pp.

Introduction to Projective Geometry and Modern Algebra. By Robert A. Rosenbaum. Reading, Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc 1963. viii + 344 pp.

Three interesting books on geometry. Dorwart's book is a brief expository and historical account of the fundamental concepts of incidence in classical projective geometry. Artzy and Rosenbaum skillfully interweave modern linear algebra into the study of classical geometry. Of these two Rosenbaum's is the more elementary, using matrices more extensively than vector spaces in the computations, providing more algebraic detail, and sticking primarily to properties of projective geometry, with the exception of conics, which hold in all finite dimensions. Artzy uses vector spaces for these studies and in addition devotes about half of the book to non-Euclidean geometries, Cayley-Klein models, Cayley algebras, quaternions, elliptic three-space, and the often avoided coordinatization in nondesarguesian planes, with Veblen-Wedderburn planes, Monfang planes, Desarguesian planes, Pappian planes and other axiomatics. Artzy has more affine and Euclidean geometry but presupposes, for ease in reading, some familiarity with modern algebra whereas Dorwart and Rosenbaum are accessible to any reader with high school geometry and a little analytics.

<u>Discrete</u> <u>and</u> <u>Continuous</u> <u>Methods in</u> <u>Applied Mathematics</u>. By. J. C. <u>Mathews</u> and <u>C. E.</u> Langenhop. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966. xiii + 525 pp., \$10.95.

The chapter headings indicate the modern selection of topics in applied mathematics interwoven as indicated in the title. It presupposes a standard elementary calculus background. The headings in order are: Discrete Probability Theory, Linear Algebra, Finite Markov Chains, Linear Programming, Capacitated Transport Networks, Ordinary Differential Equations, Linear Systems of Differential Equations, Nonlinear Differential Equations and Stability, and Stochastic Processes.

<u>Variational Principles.</u> By. B. L. Moiseiwitsch. New York, Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966. x + 310 pp., **\$14.00.**

By writing succinctly and elegantly in the mathematical physics style the author is able to provide the reader who has a background of advanced calculus and three or four non-elementary undergraduate physics courses with an excellent selection of results in analytical dynamics, optics, wave mechanics, quantum mechanics, field equations, eigenvalue problems, and scattering theory within the unifying concepts of calculus of variations.

\$100 cash prize award

for the best expository paper published in the Pi Mu Epsilon Journal by an undergraduate student goes to:

James Williams Carleton College

for his article on **A** MULTIPLICATION-FREE CHARACTERIZATION OF RECIPROCAL ADDITION published in Volume 4 Number 4, Spring 1966, pp. 168 - 176.

BOOKS RECEIVED FOR REVIEW

- Richard G. Cooke. <u>Infinite Matrices</u> <u>and Sequence Spaces</u>. New York, Dover Publications, Inc., 1955. xiii + 347 pp., \$2.50.
- F. N. David. Research Papers in Statistics. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966. viii + 468 pp., \$16.75.
- Henry E. Fettis and James C. Caslin. Ten Place Tables of the Jacobian Elliptic Functions. Aerospace Research Laboratories, United States Air Force, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, 1966. iv + 109 pp.
- Daniel T. Finkbeiner, 11. Introduction to Matrices and Linear Transformations, Second Edition. San Francisco, California, W. H. Freeman and Company, 1966. xi + 297 pp., \$7.75.
- Andrew Gray and G. B. Mathews. A Treatise on Bessel Functions and Their Applications to Physics. New York, Dover Publications, Inc., 1966. xiii + 327 pp., \$2.25.
- J. Hartmanis and R. E. Stearns. Algebraic Structure <u>Theory of Sequential Machines</u>. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1966. viii + 211 pp., \$12.00.
- John B. Harvill, Basic Fortran Programming. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1966.
- Banesh Hoffmann. About Vectors. Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall,
 Inc., 1966. ix + 134 pp., \$3.25.
- J. A. Hummel. <u>Vectors</u>. Reading, Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1965. vii + 108 pp.
- Robert C. James. Advanced Calculus. Belmont, California, Wadsworth Publishing Company, Inc., 1966. x + 646 pp., \$12.50 (Text).
- Samuel J. Karlin and William J. Studden. Tchebycheff Systems: With Applications in Analysis and Statistics. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966. xviii + 586 pp., \$16.00.
- Serge Lang. A Second Course in Calculus. Reading, Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Inc., 1964. xii + 242 pp.
- Cyrus Colton MacDuffee. & Introduction & Abstract Algebra. New York,
 Dover Publications, Inc., 1966. vii + 303 pp., \$2.25.

- Edwin E. Moise. <u>Calculus</u>: <u>Part I</u>. Reading, Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley Publishing company, Inc., 1966. ix + 498 pp.
- Charles B. Morrey, Jr. <u>University Calculus</u> with <u>Analytic Geometry</u>. Reading, Massachusetts, Addison-Wesley publishing Company, Inc., 1962. xiv † 754 pp.
- Ivan Niven and Herbert S. Zuckerman. An Introduction to the Theory OF Numbers, 2nd Ed. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966. viii + 280 pp., \$7.95.
- John M. H. Olmsted. <u>Calculus With Analytic Geometry</u>. New York, Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1966. xiv + 655 pp., \$7.00.
- Sam Perlis. <u>Introduction</u> <u>to</u> <u>Algebra.</u> Waltham, Massachusetts, **Blaisdell** Publishing Company, 1966. xx + 440 pp., \$9.50.
- L. B. Rall. <u>Error in Digital Computation</u>, <u>Volume 11</u>. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1965. x + 288 pp., \$6.75.
- Shepley L. Ross. <u>Introduction to ordinary Differential Equations</u>. Waltham, Massachusetts, Blaisdell Publishing Company, 1966. viii + 337 pp., \$7.50.
- Seaton E. Smith, Jr. <u>Explorations in Elementary Mathematics</u>. Englewood
 cliffs, New Jersey, Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1966. xv + 280 pp.
- Paul A. White. <u>Linear Algebra</u>. Belmont, California, Dickenson Publishing Company, Inc., 1966. x + 323 pp.
- Calvin H. Wilcox. <u>Perturbation Theory and its Applications in Quantum Mechanics</u>. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1966. x + 428 pp., \$6 95.

NOTE: All correspondence conerning reviews and all books for review **should** be sent **to** PROFESSOR ROY **B.** DEAL, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER, OKLAHOMA, 74075.

INITIATES

ALABAMA ALPHA, University of Alabama

Keith R. Alverson
Douglas L. Blackwell
Karen P. Boozer
James E. Bright
Pamela E. Butler
Lindsey E. Carter
Sarah J. Channell
Lonnie G. Cox
William D. Creighton
Edward E. Deason
John R. Dewberry
George A. Evans
-

Carlis H. Filyaw
Joel L. Gilbert, Jr.
J. Chih-Chieh Hsaio
Donna G. Hullett
Carol L. Kain
James F. Kelley, Jr.
R. C. Kirkpatrick III
Win. R. Lacefield, Jr.
David C. LeCroix
William J. McAleer
B. H. Massey, Jr.
Dorothy Nelms
William H. Rant

Jerry F. Reeder
Vinay S. Sohoni
Donald G. Spencer
Helen G. Stalcup
James A. Stover, Jr.
Linda J. Terch
Armand T. Touchstone
Mei chih Wang
Jerry R. Weaver
Patricia L. Weaver
William L. Weaver
John W. Williams

ARIZONA ALPHA, University of Arizona

John	L.	Freeouf
Alan	Ρ.	Frost
H. F:	ranl	k George

Stephen P. Laberge E. Paul Love, Jr. Jeffrey H. Miles

James L. Peng Carolyn Ross Evelyn Weiss

ARKANSAS ALPHA, University of Arkansas

Vladin	nir	Bermudez
Wayne	c h a	ffin

Freddie Fowlkes

Don Lum Kelley **Overman**

CALIFORNIA ALPHA, University of California

Roberta Brandon
Patrick Eberlein
Benedict Freedman
Sister S. M. Fritsch
Robert D. Hall
Dr. David Gillman
Franklin T. Iha
Toni Kasper
Dr. Wm. B. Jones
Richard Katz
Kenneth L. Kaufman

Dr. Robion C. Kirby F. S. Kontrovich Edward H. Larson Eliot P. Levin Lucien B. Levy Alice Y. Lou Tyronne L. McMurrey William Maillard James Mitsuhashi Isamu Okajima William L. Paige Michel Pham-Huu-Tri

Fredric M. Pollack Russell D. Rupp, Jr. Peter J. Ryan David D. Shochat Sheldon O. Sickler Jane P. Soule Dr. Donald Z. Spicer Dr. Charles Stone Melvin M. Sweet Dr. Bertram J. Walsh Larry M. Weiner

CALIFORNIA GAMMA, Sacramento State college

Richard	E. Breverly
Gould L	. Cline
Linda G	allaher

Walter A. Harcos Craig A. Horrocks Tae Woo Park Frank A. Pignata Vincent A. Sposito Conrad A. Wilgus

CALIFORNIA ZETA, University of California at Riverside

Monty L. Anderson			
Alfred L. Basinger			
Leonard J. Bass			
John C. Beebee			
Stanley J. Benkoski			
Paul F. Berry			
Vera D. Briggs			
John D. Brink			
Peter Cavaretta			
Jeanette M. Cook			
Chester R. Cram			
Alfons J. Daverio			

Herbert A. DeKleinc
Marshall D. Earle
James M. Foote
H. R. Gail
Hans J. L. Habereder
Garry D. Hart
Dennis Hollingworth
Robert B. Hughes
Alice Ann Hunt
Joyce E. Jolly
West E. Katzenstein
Tjioe Hian Kwan
Carol 12 Murov

John L. Murphy
Armand Orensztein
Karen E. Ravn
Dennis M. Rodriguez
Sue L. Schultz
Ladd G. Seekins
Robert J. Smay
Albert M. Soldate
William B. Stelwagon
Carol E. Taylor
John W. Willis
Stephen J. Wolfe

CONNECTICUT ALPHA, University of Connecticut

Nancy A. Crawford Evelyne J. Gottheim Ruth P. Graziani

Matthew J. Jordon Barbara Kusaila

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA ALPHA, Howard university

Bonnie J. Ashurst
Saranjit 3. Bhatia
Albert I. Chatmon
George O. Earle
Ervin Forbes
Rajinder Pa Garg
Peter M. Gitu

William L. Grover
E. A. Higgingbotham
Willie J. Hubbard
Howard J. Humphrey
Bentley W. Priestley
Grace L. Robinson

Sylvia M. Sloan
Desmond II. Rochester
in-On Sun
Alvin L. Thomas
Eli A. Timoll
William Wen
Milton H. Woodward

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA BETA, Georgetown university

Geraldo S. Avila Donald Ray Colner Giuliano N. Gnugnol 1

George B. Mackiw Richard K. Molnar Arnold P. Stokes J. Roger Teller pierrc M. Tullier James A. Wood

FLORIDA EPSILON, University of South Florida

Ignacio Bello
James Bledsoe
Theo Anne Bolint
David E. Bunch
William R. Burdett
Terry W. Chapman
Yoon Ja S. Chung
Dr. Frank L. Cleaver
Luis Jose Cowan
Ronald H. Estes
Claudio Fernandez, Jr.
William R. Freeman
Dennis M. Hale
Stephen B. Haley
Michael L. Harrison

Phillip Hartman
Robert C. Helgeson
Neal D. Hendrix
Bruce D. Jameson
Jerome P. Kane
James H. Kavina
Carl N. Kiebler
Doris M. LaFlam
Fred G. Levesque
Myrna K. Marshall
Stephen J. Maxwell
William E. McGavern
Joseph G. Morton
Sarah J. Pardo

John C. Petrick

Raiph A. Pliego
Raiph A. Powell
Vincent J. Puglisi
Ferdie Ramos
David A. Rose
Dr. Donald C. Rose
Marguerita A. Sanchez
Myron D. Sellers
Andria Troutman
Kenneth A. Vagts
Edmund Vollrath
Barbara E. Warren
Geoffrey R. Webb
Fredric J. Zerla

GEORGIA BETA, Georgia Institute of Technology

James R. Albritton Andrew A. Blanchard Paul Boland Donald C. Brabston, Jr. Noah W. Cox, Jr. Lee Edwards Stanley W. Johnston Alan G. Law

ILLINOIS BETA, Northwestern University

Joanne L. Brunst John P. Hardin Linn W. Hobbs, Jr. Michael S. Lurey David W. Smith Ira B. Tetalman Robert **Wayman**

INDIANA BETA, Indiana University

Thomas L. Dobecki Sherrin A. Jones William J. Lawrence
Dennis C. Rarick
Gerald R. Thrasher, Jr.

Alien B. Wesson Jim E. Peterson, Jr.

INDIANA GAMMA, Rose Polytechnic Institute

William F. Knannlein

Clarence P. Sousley

IOWA ALPHA, Iowa State University

Craig A. Artherholt Vincent C. Ashwill Adele Berry Judith E. Brown Tjee Hung Chong Janice M. Christian Brian W. Crossley James A. Cunningham Robert A. Delaney Daryl R. Fischer James L. Hartmann Judith Jo Holland Gerry Lee Janssen Hugh A. King Loren D. Lange Jack N. Lindon Arlene M. Nelson Neil C. Nelson Kenneth W. Olsen Carol M. Pothast
Joseph Polito, Jr.
Thomas A. Reglein
Ronna M. Robertson
Ardeshir Rostami
Donald G. Snider
Martin M. Van Luven
Willard F. Whitaker
Mary H. Wyek

KANSAS ALPHA, University of Kansas

Charles C. Alexander
Joseph A. Bergin
Richard D. Bishop
Beverly Braun
Norman F. Chaffee
Wing Sang Chan
Jayanthi chidambaraswamy
James C. Deel
William R. Deever
Felix F. Dreher
Deborah R. Evans
S. Harvey Fryer

Ted Q. Haggart
Ronald D. Halbgewachs
F. W. Hartman, Jr.
Sara L. Harvey
Alan Hitt
Gwynn Jennings
William E. Jones, Jr.
R. Charles Knapp
John L. Lebbert
Telis K. Menas
James E. Miller

S. Edward Nevius
Michael L. Nicholas
David J. Pack
Boyd Pearce, Jr.
Timothy J. Reed
John C. Roper
Joanna R. Shrader
Duncan Sommerville
John B. Stinson
William A. Subick
carol Targett
Tommy K. Teague

KANSAS BETA, Kansas State University

James A. Baran
Mary M. Berg
Nancy L. Brown
Chi-Yung Chiang
Lawrence O. Corcoran
Rushton E. Davis
Jacqueline Dietrich
Edward J. Kobetich

Gail A. Klick Sun-Wook Lee Ronald K. Lohrding Kathleen Mathieu Judith A. Melvin Jeanette R. Morgan Sherman F. Ogle David L. Parker Richard M. Rubin Eugene P. Schulstad Arthur H. Simonson Beryl A. Shaw Donald G. Shivers Robert A. Shivers David S. Tucker

KANSAS GAMMA, Wichita State university

C. W. Anderson, III
Madelaine Bates
Dennis K. Buth
Ronald Dutton
G. Ronald Galloway
George R. Hadley
Richard A. Harris

Janet Sue Hatfield Robert L. Hogan Donley D. Jones Aung Khin Gaylene Lindley Forrest L. McDaniel Cheryl J. Olson Michael A. Perl Thomas O. Sloan Fred Jack Smith Arthur D. Snow Derek A. Snyder Yih-yen Sun Diana Kay Webster

KENIUCKY ALPHA, University of Kentucky

David M. Adams, Jr. Donald E. Bennett Frederic W. Bowen Grady L. Cantrell Charles E. Cleaver

James L. Frank
Charles R. Hammons
William McGowen
James D. Nelson

Arthur M. Riehl
William D. Skees
Kenneth A. Stammerman
J. B. Trout, Jr.
Franklin G. VanLandingham

LOUISIANA ALPHA, Louisiana State University

Homer M. Allison, Jr
Joel M. Atkins
Miriam Currier
Brother A. DuRapau
John S. Everett, Jr.
Frank F. Fishell
Jose A. Galinzoga
Albert L. Gardner
Johnny Gills, Jr.
Brother Neal Golden
William C. Haight

Robert B. Hamilton
Dale A. Huckaby
Elizabeth J. Keller
Frances W. Lewis
James M. Lewis
Ruth S. L. Lewis
Hipolito Martinez
Otis B. McCowan
Henry L. McQueen
James A. Moore
Paul J. Moses, Jr.
Billy C. Parrish

Kenneth W. Potter Janella C. Rachal Shirley M. Ritter Joseph W. Robert H. L. Shoemaker, III Donval R. Simpson Glen A. Taylor Darwin R. TeBeest Thomas E. Warth William W. Waters, Jr. Joyce L. Wynn

LOUISIANA BETA, Southern University

Habib Eskander Floyd L. Knox A. D. Oliver J. D. Oliver

Hermon Spikes Henry L. Thaggart

MARYLAND ALPHA, University of Maryland

William B. Adams Judy Arnold Martin R. Babst Joseph H. Bredekamp Margaret M. Cook W. R. A. Dorsett Howard Eiserike Walter L. Hagen Catherine M. Hausler Leonard S. Haynes Adil J. Kanaan Barry R. Korb Raymond C. Luebbe Paul Menzel Ronald Shepler T. E. Williamson, Jr. Gordon S. Woodward Susanne Zumstein

MASACHUSETIS ALPHA, Worcester Polytechnic Institute

Stephen R. Alpert Robert E. DeNigris Edward A. Gallo John L. Kilguss Alan H. Miller Peter H. Tallman

MINNESOTA ALPHA, Carleton College

Nadim Assad Bennett M. Davis

Dwight V. House Jack E. Kyte Rodger E. Poore Hal M. Hart Gary R. zempel

MONTANA ALPHA, University of Montana

Rudolfo Arnao Shomei Chow Loxi Eide Elmer Gall Jerry D. Hulstrom Darrel Hagen Richard Kruse Marie T. McBride Elaine Nelson Elmer Schuman Vernon L. Smith Edward Walsh Karen Hammond Bill Irlbeck Dick Kinder

MONTANA BETA, Montana State university

Kenneth E. Blutt
Kent F. Carlson
Lawrence V. Durland, Jr.
William S. Jackman
Jerry L. Jackson
William T. Johnson
John B. Kolesar

Michael J. Kosorok Thomas P. Kostohris Benjamin A. Laws, Jr. Thomas J. Morgan Larry M. Nelson Jonathan T. Patten Sidney E. Prahl Joel W. Schaaf Keith H. Senechal Merriel A. Storseth Kenneth J. Thurber Jay T. Weyerman Gary A. Wolf Robert W. Wulf

MISSOURI GAMMA, St. Louis University

Leonard E. Allen, Jr. William A. Allison Robert L. Arndt Nieves P. Arribas David W. Bange John A. Barry, Jr. Peter J. Barsos Thomas C. Becvar Barbara Blackmore Stanley J. Boehm Sister M. D. Bourgeois Therese M. Bruce Chung Hee Chang Kenneth W. Clark James K. Colligan Michael E. Coughlin Helen M. Daus Val F. De La Guardia Jacqueline M. Deveny Samuel Digorolamo M. Deborah Doyle Gerard C. Dunn Sr. Rose E. Ehret Sister M. C. Ehrmann Seymour B. Elk Robert Ernst Raymond C. Eyler Huei Sheh Fann Mary A. Faust Paul Feagan Joseph F. Fennell

patricia Finnegan Anne L. Ford John T. Forsing Philip B. Fraundorf Peggy Frintrup Charles W. Gantner Gerard L. Gilsinn Joseph A. Hobbs Richard G. Horton Jacqueline J. Howard Jerry G. Johnson Walter J. Johnston Kathleen Kirby Pamela C. Koehl Nancy Kopff John D. Kubicek Judith I. Lurtz Lawrence A. Machtinger David J. Meagher Gary L. Melvin Denis L. Meslans Carol A. Mever Gerald L. Moriarty, S.J. Martin W. Mraz James A. Murphy, Jr. Mary Anne Nugent Nancy J. O'Brien Daniel C. O'Connor Mark Oksenhend ler Norman L. Parker John pisarkiewicz, Jr.

Mary Ann Reardon Joann M. Rhodus Ralph J. Richardson Ralph W. Rotter, Jr. Alan J. Ruf Annette M. Seiler Joseph L. Small John G. Smerek, S.J. Brian G. Smith Robert R. Sontheimer James W. Taylor, Jr. Richard Tompkins David C. Torretta Richard C. Trushel John A. Van De Walle Carole A. Voudrie David Wang Paula A. Wankum Paul R. Weirich John W. West Michael P. West Patricia L. Whitehead Raymond J. Will Mary P. Wilson Diana C. wittenborn Charles E. Wuller, Jr. James E. Wynne George W. York Sister John M. Burton Chi Fung Chow Thomas V. Wencewicz

MISSOURI ALPHA, University of Missouri

Robert Ayres Lee Badger Edward Bolton James Briner Herbert Britt Robert B. Chamberlain Thomas Chanev J. K. Chang Milton Cone Richard Conners James R. Dickenson David W. Dillingham Elizabeth Duncan Logan Dysart Martha Edwards James Goff Edward Hackman Steven J. Halliburton Daniel Harman Sallie Haynes Lawrence Heligman

Bonnie Lou Hicks Mary Howard Larry W. Isenhour Barbara Jones Jon Jorgenson Ellen Kane David Kessell Robert G. Kneile Susan Koeller James Leath Richard LeBoeuf Joyce Linebach Larry Linn Charles R. Mann Carmel Mazzocco Donald McKim William S. Meisner John R. Mever Ronald Moen Gail Neu David Nolting

Alfred J. Patrick Joanne Payne John Pavne Judson Palmer Sarah Pentico Penelope Perkins William Peterson Charles A. Preuss Terry Sappenfield Walter Schwartz Robert Spradling Shirley Teal Roger Thaller Daniel Tichenor Mark Tittle Ronald Tuttle Ronald J. Vehige Walter M. Viebrock Keith Weaver Charles Weil Carl J. Willig

NEBRASKA ALPHA, University of Nebraska

Dean C. Bayer George N. Bennett Phillip C. Boardman Bing Chen Earl E. Dredge Jaclyn Kay Eads David Walter Erbach Mrs. Thomas H. Frick Joe Richard Haberman Charles A. Hentzen Jose Manuel Inquanzo Larry Lee Jurgens Francis E. Lefler Gary Lee Muller
James A. Pardubsky
William F. Prange
Richard Lee Voqt
James H. Scheppers
Richard T. Reckmeyer

NEVADA ALPHA, University of Nevada

Peter Berney Patrick W. Brymer Margaret A. coplin William W. Coplin Ronald C. Gallaway Terrance R. Graves Alden McLellan Young W. Nam George L. Simmons Marjorie L. Uhalde Steve L. Williams

NEW MEXICO ALPHA. New Mexico State University

William G. Calton Joe Howard Maryce Jacobs Nancy Johnson Sachol Kim Fred E. Ridener Gary J. Runyan Edgar A. Rutter Louis Solomon Donald C. Stevens

NEW YORK ALPHA, Syracuse university

Donald Andres
Karin Banazer
Harold Benzinger
Thomas Brown
Regina Brunner
Dennis L. Carr
Robert Campbell
William Cox
Wayne Daigle
Vorin R. Daino
June DeHart
Mitchell Gaynes
Barbara Giampa
Bernard Gorkin

Robert Graves
Barney Haiduk
Cecil T. Ho
Paul K. Houft
James Jacobson
Karen Kane
Byrna T. Klastorin
George Klee
Donald E. Lehman
Penny Low
Thomas Magnanti
Scott G. McMullin
William Mesrobian
Richard Michelson
Robert Moffett

Craig Munger
Ralph Muuer
Natalie Myerberg
Brian O'Neil
Bruce Paterson
William Porter
William C. Richardson
Robert A. sitterley
Marvin Snow
James Spearot
Alan Spivack
Martin Steousky
Carol Tewes
Bruce Wilson

NEW YORK BETA, Hunter college

Sheila Antonoff Peter Dunn Gloria Euben Alice Farbman Mary G. Ferry Marilyn Hirschhorn Beverly Karp Susan Tjoeng

NEW YORK ETA, State University of N. Y. at Buffalo

Joseph Barback Michael DiNoto Claudia Elliott Morton Goldberg John M. Hall Richard G. Hull Delia Z. Klingbeil Madeline E. Lipsky Kun-Tsung Liu John A. McCabe Mark Morgante Linda A. Nadler Rajagopalon Neela Mary Rooke F. Brian Talbot Susan Vampotic Elaine S. White Susan L. Whitney Brian J. Winkel Rosemary A. Zent

NEW YORK GAMMA, Brooklyn college

James Baumbach Nurit Binenbaum Nancy Evraets Florence W. Feldman Edna **Kalka** Eric Pesso Rosalie Sherman Joel Wolowelsky

NEW YORK IOTA, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn

Michael G. Adlerstein Marvin Blecker Marco A. Ettrick William L. Goodhue Michael M. Schachter William J. Hery Stanley Rabinowitz Marshall Schwartz Joseph F. Sullivan Roy P. Weber

NEW YORK NU, New York university

Jay Gerstenblith Richard A. Goldman

Edward H. Grossman

Charles Phillips
Joseph G. Stampfli

NEW YORK	OMICRON,	Clarkson	College	of	Technology
----------	----------	----------	---------	----	------------

John G. Alvord Edward R. **Franchi** Roger L. Johnson Charles J. **Bromberick** Frederick Trentelman

NEW YORK PI, State University College

Oskar Feichtinger Thomas Harvey
Joseph Scherrer

Lewis Strumpf

NORTH CAROLINA GAMMA, North Carolina State University

David M. Allen
Robert E. Botsch
Robert W. chappell
Stylianos Danielopoulos
Richard W. Eaker
Ronald S. Elliott
Stanley K. Fraley
John H. Fulton

Kathryn W. Geddie Robert J. Gibbs Billy Joe Gilpin Noal C. Harbertson George Kalfaoglu Sun Won Lee Mary Shiao-Fang Liu William F. Page Iris F. Patrick
Gipsie B. Ranney
Lawrence C. Ross, Jr.
Elsa C. Servy
Lynn B. Spees
Larry E. Warren
Lynn M. Perry
James D. Watson

OHIO BETA, Ohio Wesleyan University

Mehmet K. Cakman Barbara K. Coe Norman G. Forsythe William M. M. Haskell James I. Hassel Katherine L. Heym In-Kuin Kim Kandice A. Pence Robert E. Shanklin Ronald K. Snider Patricia M. Venter Margaret M. Weaver Kathleen S. Weiss

OHIO DELTA, Miami University

Ann E. Althaus
Sarah M. Ballard
Martha L. Clarkson
Chase W. Crawford
Lloyd J. Davis
William D. Freund
Michael L. Green

Paul M. Harrison Lawrence D. Krabill Marvin A. Johnson John A. Long Michael L. Motto Pamela L. Nichols Mary L. Noffsinger Patrick H. O'Reilly Joanne M. Poticny Eileen M. Reuter Janis P. Siegelman Susan B. Sliter Eric R. Ziegel

OHIO EPSILON, Kent State University

Edward Bean
Charles Dale
Rodney Hannah
Peggy Head
Joanne Laidman
Patricia H. Lukosavich
James R. Miller
Antonette Modugno
Robert R. Moore

David Ogilvie
Louis O'Korn
Thomas Palenchar
Richard Piotrowski
Florence Popa
Neal C. Raber
Hazel E. Robertson
Jo Marie Rocci

Julian Rosenman
John Sechrist
John Skoczen
Russel Smucker
Lora Lita Thomas
Jeanne Vitina
Carolyn Weber
Kathleen Woerner
Michael Mamrick

OHIO ETA, Cleveland State University

Cosmo R. Baraona Dale L. Bilczo Robert B. Dzik Florian W. Gembicki Charles F. Hale Philip E. Howard Robert W. Johansen William R. Kubinec George S. Lewis Ronald L. Patterson David Schwartz David G. Shockey Charles E. Spooner Glenn C. Winters, Jr

OHIO GAMMA, University of Toledo

Mildred 2. Beale
Richard N. Billmaier
Carl F. Coslow
John W. Juvinall
David I. Kirby

Joyce A. Lentz Larry C. Loy Ronald D. Reazin Helen Lenore Ross Howard Schwartz Robert I. Sheldon Danit Tulalamba Richard D. Walter James E. Zoski

OHIO IOTA, Denison university

David Bauer Barbara Braeutigam Janice Crandall Jesse Elliot Mary Markis Ann **McBride** Margaret Moody

OHIO ZETA, University of Dayton

John August Joseph T. Boufaissal Ronald F. Criss Richard N. Danzeisen Charlotte R. Eudy Ronald N. Forthofer Mary T. Johnson Edward J. Krall, S.M. William F. Kothman, S.M. John M. Nienberg Joseph C. Pacileo Fred J. Prince Joseph E. Quinn
William J. Scharf
Eugene J. Steuerle
Terrance T. Stretch, S.M.
William H. Tobias
Fred J. Wells

OKLAHOMA ALPHA, University of Oklahoma

Harold D. Buffalow Jin T. Chen Charles B. Eaton Judith A. Edwards Joel C. Ewing Leigh A. Fiedler Joe G. Foreman Judith E. Hawkins Joanne M. Hayes Ralph Howenstine Rowell D. Johnston Jack D. Jolly
Danny Lee Kepner
W. Calvin Kilgore
Maurice D. McClenaham
Alfred L. McKinney
woodrow sun

OKLAHOMA BETA, Oklahoma State University

Jody Bellamy Janice Case Diane Graham Margaret Ann Hapke Ramon Littell John MacNeil Karen Kay **Phelps** Jo Ann Richert Kay Schones

PENNSYLVANIA ALPHA, University of Pennsylvania

Arthur Babaian
John S. Cook
Carol F. Lieb
Ernest D. Lieberman
Alex J. Lyczak, Jr.

Joy I. Miller Arlene I. Moskawitz Ralph **J. Plotkin Hadas** Rin Martin L. Schwartz Sandor H. Straus • Paul A. Wolfgang Kenneth L. York Mark L. Yoseloff

PENNSYLVANIA DELTA, Pennsylvania State University

Marie E. Aileo William Armel Thomas A. Augustine George E. Bilger Thomas S. Blair Dirk C. Blakeslee Charles Bradley Alexis J. Brauner Robert T. Burger Richard A. Cox Robert G. Crawford Nancy Deck Caroline I. Deisher David J. DeVries John M. Diehl T. J. Eddinger Thomas W. Edmunds James O. Emanuel Charles R. Eminhizer Robert H. Feldman William B. Fiess Donald M. Freedman Stuart J. Freidlin David Gallo Richard S. Gavlak Devin A. Geer David M. George

Matthew Gould James W. Greer. Jr. Wm. F. Grimm Ernest Haeussler, Jr. Dennis R Hizer L. Katherine Jones Allyn B. Kahn Michael D. Keebaugh David C. Keiter Richard A. Kemmerer James C. Kennedy Walter E. Kerschbaum Daniel J. Klementik Gerald N. Klemushin Alice Ann Kuettel Thomas C. Lavy Martha Lester G. K. Marshall, Jr. Richard D. Matthews Marvin A. Mazer Adrienne L. McConahy Maureen McEvoy Robert B. McNeill Jacques Mumma John F. Norris Lawrence E. Olah

John Perrine Thomas D. Pinkerton Thomas Pirnot Kenneth A. Ports Cassandra Riddell Arthur W. Rizzi Barry H. Rosenberg Ann C. Rutledge R. Shantaram Leroy B. Sheibley Jeffrey A. Simon Jean Smith Wilbur L. Smith Barbara M. Smukler Robert T. Snyder William M. Stiteler Norman W. Stoldt Douglas K. Thomson Sister A. S. V. Walsh Karen L. Walter John Wasileski Peter M. Weiss William R. Weller Stanley E. Werner Nancy J. Whitley John M. Wnuk Michael H. zucker

PENNSYLVANIA ETA, Franklin and Marshall College

Joseph J. Levinsky

Norman A. Nielsen, Jr.

PENNSYLVANIA ZETA, Temple University

Gail Auerbach Joan Cheng Frank Daniel, Jr. Esther Dechow Paul M. Goodman Sharon Green Marcia Lavin Barry Marged Marsha Markowitz George S. McElhinney Suzanne Powell Marc Ross Catherine Strahl J. Yvonne Taylor Cheryl R. zaret RHODE ISLAND ALPHA, University of Rhode Island

William Barletta paula L. Cinquegrana Jay V. Cohen William J. DiMarco Elizabeth K. Faella Janice E. Kelly Phyllis Kelvin Rochbre rD. TMaMDMahdd

James B. Myette Raymond J. Turbitt

SOUTH CAROLINA ALPHA. university of South Carolina

Terry W. Boyd Sara J. Grooms Michael E. Harvey Sharon L. Hixon Samuel A. Jeter Nexson B. Johnson Harold E. Lindsey, Jr.
Talbot R. Malcolm
Virginia A. McDaniel
William Z. McGhee
Francisco G. Moreno

Bruce H. Palmatier Shirley M. Price Thomas M. Rowe Harry H. Smoak, III Deborah L. Spence Samuel B. Thomason

SOUTH DAKOTA ALPHA, University of South Dakota

Robert L. Adams
Gernhard Bellof
Byron M. Brandt
Harold L. Christensen
Carol A. Clarke
Howard H. Connors
L. Pierre Engen
Caroline A. Erickson
Richard Fejfar
Martin Getz
Lowanne M. Grafing

Calvin W. Hartman
Ellen Dee Hoppe
Lawrence R. Huff
Monte J. Johnson
Merril H. Jordahl
Andrew E. Karantinos
Mary E. Klaras
Sharon L. Kollasch
Terry D. Lenker
Ken Lundberg
Judith A. Nusz
Carol E. Reedy

Robert J. Rowatt
John R. Severson
Massood Seyedin
Don D. Singer
Charles F. Slagle
Martha K. Smith
clair Swallers
Stephen Turner
Clyde A. Wiles
Inara zarins
Carl W. Ziegler

TEXAS ALPHA, Texas Christian University

James R. Boone Bettye D. Forte William D. McAdams Jerry McAllister Herbert D. **Nobles E.** Leigh Secrest

TEXAS BETA, Lamar State College of Technology

Dolores G. Saunders

Arthur L. Smirl

Patricia A. Snyder

UTAH ALPHA, University of Utah

Baline M. Anderson
Linda K. Anderson
Alan C. Ashton
Carlos G. Atencio
Wayne W. Barrett
James E. Boyle
Robert H. Bradway
James W. Cannon
Ross N. Castleton
Dennis D. Clayton
Nelson T. Dinerstein
Richard C. Dixon
Tommy Dodd
A. George Dors

William T. Eaton
David L. Egli
Robert H. Hassell
John C. Hawkins
Roger L. Hunt
Herbert F. Kiesler
Frederick M. Lister
Noel E. Marquis
Robert R. Marshall
E. Ray Martin
Carletta J. Miller
Gregory M. Nielson
David E. Olson

Keith B. Olson
Beverly J. Petersen

"Owen G. Reese, Jr.
Randall D. Reese
E. Roger Simonson
Jakob Chi-kang Soong
Robert L. Stewart
Merrily A. Sweat
Ronald L. Thurgood
Geno P. Tolari
San-Chu Want
Steven C. Wheelwright
Robert D. white
Don R. Wilhelmsen

VIRGINIA BETA, Virginia polytechnic Institute

Willard D. Altman John G. Barile, Jr. William W. Belew, III Jackie M. Beverly Joseph R. Boggess William G. Buchanan Nancy E. Dodson Harriet H. Farrier Harry F. Folden Kenneth R. Garren Chang-Ming Hsu Ernest Paul Lane Chih-Bing Ling Valiant Wai-Yung Mah William H. Olson Joe G. Rieley John C. Stewart Forrest D. Rollins James Pickands, III

WASHINGTON DELTA, Western Washington State College

Miriam P. Edwards

WASHINGTON GAMMA, Seattle University

Robert J. Madigan

John G. Menges

Gerald L. Reiner

WISCONSIN ALPHA, Marquette University

Thomas Atwell
David S. Burton
Wendell R. Collins

David Fehl
Sandra L. Goldsmith
William D. Koepp

Ashok Kumar Bradley Pinz Dennis P. Wierzba

WISCONSIN BETA, University of Wisconsin

J. E. Armstrong Christina Bahl Carol Irene Carter ownson chenq Thomas Chirko Michael **Garey** Nancy James Tom **Knoblauch** Richard Kos Gary Lachmund Delmar Marshall M. F. Yu The following friends of Pi Mu Epsilon Fratemity and the chapters indicated are patron subscribers to the PI MU EPSILON JOURNAL, paying ten dollars for a one-year subscription, in the hope that these subscriptions will relieve the general membership of the increasing cost of publication and distribution of the JOURNAL.

Arkansas Alpha Chapter

In Memory of Frank W. Gamblin, Jr.

Elmer E. Marx College Library Nebraska Alpha Chapter New Hampshire Alpha

In Memory of Dr. Harry W. Reddick

Ohio Epsilon Chapter Oklahoma Beta Chapter Penn, Beta Chapter Penn, Delta Chapter Virginia Beta Chapter Arkansas Alpha Illinois Beta

Florido Beto

Missouri Gommo Montano Beta Nebraska Alpha New Hampshire Alp Northwestern Universit

Florido State Universit

Saint Louis University Montana State College University of Nebraska versity of New Hampshire

lew York Alpha

Ohio Epsilon Oklahoma Beta Penn. Beta Penn. Delta Virginia Beta Syracuse University

Kent State University Oklahoma State University Bucknell University Penn, State University Virginia Polytechnic Institute

