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The University of St. Thomas, Houston, Texas
In a calculus class a student noticed that $D_{\mathbf{x}}{ }^{j} \mathbf{x} \left\lvert\,=\frac{|x|}{x}\right.$ and $D_{x}\left|x^{2}-4\right|=\frac{\left|x^{2}-4\right|}{x^{2}-4}(2 x)$.

This led to the question:
If $P(x)$ is a polynomial, is the following formula valid?

$$
D_{x}|P(x)|=\frac{|P(x)|}{P(x)} \cdot P^{\prime}(x)
$$

The class quickly found a counterexample. If $P(x)=x^{3}$, then $|\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{x})|$ has a derivative everywhere, hence the formula, which would indicate that the derivative did not exist at the origin, is not valid in this case.

This brought up the question, what conditions can we impose on $P(x)$ so that the formula will hold? One student suggested the condi(ion: if $P(a)=0$ then $P^{\prime}(a) \# 0$, while another noticed that in all cases for which the formula held, the roots were distinct

After considerable labor and prodding by the instructor, the following theorem emerged:

Theorem: If $P(x)$ is a polynomial, then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(i) If a is real and $P(a)=0$, then $P^{\prime}(a) \neq 0$
(ii) The real roots of $P(x)$ are distinct
(iii) $D_{x}|P(x)|=\frac{|P(x)|}{P(x)} \cdot P^{\prime}(x)$
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Suppose $P(x)$ has a repeated real root, $a$,

Then by the Factor Theorem, $P(x)=(x-a)^{2} Q(x)$.
$P^{\prime}(x)=(x-a)^{2} Q^{\prime}(x)+2 Q(x)(x-a)$ and $P^{\prime}(a)=0$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Suppose $P(a)=0$, a real. Then
$P(x)=(x-a) Q(x)$, and since $P(x)$ has no repeated real roots,
$Q(a) \neq 0$. Then
$P^{\prime}(x)=(x-a) Q^{\prime}(x)+Q(x)$ and
$P^{\prime}(\mathrm{a})=\mathrm{Q}(\mathrm{a}) \neq 0$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Proof by induction on the number of real roots of $P(x)$. First we state two preliminary lemmas whose proofs are obvious.
$D_{x}|P(a)|=\lim _{x \rightarrow a} \frac{\left|(x-a)^{2} Q(x)\right|}{x-a}$

- $\lim _{x+a} \frac{(x-a)^{2}|Q(x)|}{x-a}$
$=\lim _{x \rightarrow a}(x-a)|Q(x)|=0$
Thus the derivative exists at a and (iii) does not hold.


## UNDERGRADUATE RESEARCH PROJECT

## Submitted by Dr. David Kay University of Oklahoma

This problem concerns a method of characterizing certain sets in the plane. Say a set is $(\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n})$-convex if it has the property that among each
 set, $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 0$. For example, the five-pointed star with its interior is a set which is $(2,0)-,(3,1)-,(4,3)-,(5,5)$-convex, etc.; the set consisting of two intersecting lines is $(2,0)-,(3,1)-,(4,2)-,(5,4)$ convex, etc. The function $c_{c}(m)$ is defined as the maximal number $n$ such that the set $S$ is $(m, \pi)$-convex. Evidently $c_{S}(m)$ reflects the character of $S$. Thus it is easy to see that if $S$ is a parabola $c(m)=0$, if $S$ is convex $c_{S}(m)=(m)=[m(m-1)] / 2$, and if $S$ consists $S$ of the union of two convex $\mathrm{S}^{2} \mathrm{ts} \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{s}}(\mathrm{m})=$

## $\binom{[m / 2]}{2}$,

where $[m / 2]$ denotes the greatest integer in $m / 2$. Investigate certain sets in the plane to see if they may be characterized by the function ${ }_{c}{ }_{s}(m)$ defined above. Sample theorem: A set $S$ consists of a convex set $C$, and $k$ isolated points not in $C$, if and only if $c_{S}(m)=\left(m_{2} k\right)$.


## NEED MONEY?

The Governing Council of Pi Mu EDsilon announces a contest for the best expository paper by a student (who has not yet received a masters degree) suitable for publication in the Pi Mi Epsilon Journal.

The following prizes will be qiven

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \$ 200 . \text { first prize } \\
& \$ 100 . \text { second prize } \\
& \$ 50 . \text { third prize }
\end{aligned}
$$

providing at least ten papers are received for the contest.
In addition there will be a $\$ 20$. prize for the best paper from any one chapter, providing that chapter submits at least five papers.

## AN EASIER CONDITION

## THAN TOTAL BOUNDEDNESS

## Daniel E. Putnam

University of Illinois

The condition of total boundedness is a useful one: for instance, in establishing compactness. Therefore it is worthwhile to find simpler properties equivalent to total boundedness. The condition I suggest is this:

Definition: A subset $A$ of a metric space ( $X, d$ ) is Cauchy bounded, if for all $\varepsilon>0$ and all infinite subsets $B C A$ there are two points $x, y \in$ B with $d(x, y)<\varepsilon$.

Compare this condition with that of total boundedness:
Definition: A subset A of a metric space ( $X, d$ ) is totally bounded, if for all $\varepsilon>0$ there is an $\varepsilon$ nef consisting of a finite subset, $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a\right\}$, of $A$ so that for any $x \in A$ we have $d\left(x, a_{i}\right)<\varepsilon$ for some $i$.

It is easy to see that if $A$ were totally bounded and if BCA were infinite, then given $\varepsilon>0$, we could find $x, y \in B$ with $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon$. The procedure is simple. We simply note the existence of a $1 / 2 \mathrm{E}$ net and see that there are two points, $x$ and $y$, of the infinite set $B$ clustered about one of the points, $a_{i}$, in the $1 / 2 \varepsilon$ net. From $d\left(x, a_{:}\right)<\varepsilon / 2$ and $d\left(y, a_{1}\right)<\varepsilon / 2$ and the triangle inequality, we see that $d(x, y)<\varepsilon$. Thus total boundedness easily implies Cauchy boundedness. That Cauchy boundedness implies total boundedness is not quite so easy to see but is still easy to prove.
Theorem: If a subset $A$ of a metric space ( $X, d$ ) is Cauchy bounded, -then it is totally bounded.

Proof: Suppose that A was not totally bounded. In that case we would have an $\varepsilon>0$ so that no $\varepsilon$ net existed. Choose a point $a_{1} \in A \cdot\left\{a_{1}\right\}$
is not an $\varepsilon$ net, so there must be a point $a_{2} \varepsilon A$ with $d\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right) \geq \varepsilon$. $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ is not an $\varepsilon$ net, so there must be a point $a_{3} \varepsilon A$ with $\bar{d}\left(a_{3}, a_{2}\right) \geq \varepsilon$ and $d\left(a_{3}, a_{1}\right) \geq \varepsilon$. We note that $\{a 1, a 2, a 3\}$ is not an $\varepsilon$ net, and this
process continues in the same way. The result is a sequence of points \{a \}, of $A$, with the property that $d\left(a_{i}, a_{j}\right) \geq \varepsilon$ if $i \neq j$. The sequence \{ $a_{n}$ \} is an infinite subset of $A$ that has no two points closer than $E$. Thus we have a contradiction to the Cauchy boundedness of $A$, and the theorem is established.

Perhaps it is now easier to see why Cauchy boundedness is simpler to establish than total boundedness. The fact that Cauchy boundedness follows so easily from total boundedness implies that one might as well prove a set to be Cauchy bounded as totally bounded. Furthermore the fact that in order to establish total boundedness one must find an $\varepsilon$ net for each $\varepsilon$ seems to indicate that total boundedness is harder to establish than Cauchy boundedness where one only needs to find two close points in an infinite subset.

As an illustration of what is involved, let me offer a new proof to an old result.

Theorem: If $A$ is a subset of the space of continuous real-valued functions on $[0,1]$ with the uniform metric, then $A$ is compact if $A$ is closed, equicontinuous, and uniformly bounded.

This is half of the Arzela-Ascoli theorem as stated in [1]. The procedure will be to show that A is complete and totally bounded. This procedure will be to show that $A$ is complete and totally bounded. says that a subset of a metric space is compact if, and only if, it says that a subset of a metric space is compact if, and only if, it tinuous functions on $[0,1]$ is complete and $A$ is given to be closed, we know that $A$ is complete. Thus we only need to prove that A is totally bounded. We will do this by route of Cauchy boundedness.

Let $A_{0}$ be an infinite subset of $A$ and let $\varepsilon>0$ be chosen. Since $A_{0}$ is also equicontinuous, for all $x \in[0,1]$ there exists $N$ such that for all $f \in A$ and all $y \in N_{x}$ we have $|f(y)-f(x)|<\varepsilon / 3$, where $N$ denotes an open set for which $x \in N$. We note that the family of sets $\left\{N_{x}\right\}$ for $x \in[0,1]$ covers $[0,1]$ and so we have a finite subcover of $[0,1],\left\{N_{x_{1}}, N_{x_{2}}, \ldots, N_{x_{K}}\right\}$.

Now consider the set $\left\{f\left(x_{1}\right) / f \in A_{0}\right\}$. From the fact that $A_{0}$ is both uniformly bounded and infinite, we know that there is an infinite subset $A_{1} C A$ such that for $f, g \varepsilon A$ we have that $\left|f\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{1}\right)\right|<\varepsilon / 3$. This follows from the well known Bolzano-Wierstrass theorem

Let me put together what we have so far. Let $y \in N_{x_{1}}$ and $f$, $g \in A$, then:
$|f(y)-g(y)| \leq\left|f(y)-f\left(x_{1}\right)\right|+\left|f\left(x_{1}\right)-g\left(x_{1}\right)\right|+\left|g\left(x_{1}\right)-g(y)\right|$. We know that each of these quantities is less than $\varepsilon / 3$, so we have $|f(y)-g(y)|<\varepsilon$. Thus, the functions of $A_{1}$ uniformly approximate each other on $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}_{1}}$ "

The same trick works again and we find an infinite subset $A_{2} c A_{1}$ so that $f, g \in A, y \in N_{x_{2}}$ implies $|f(y)-g(y)|<E$. Of course, since
$A_{2}{ }^{C} A_{1}$ we know that $|f(y)-g(y)|<\varepsilon$ also holds if y $\varepsilon N_{1}$. Continuing in this way eventually produces an infinite subset $A_{k} \subset A_{0}^{1}$ such that $|f(y)-g(y)|<\varepsilon$ if $f, g \varepsilon A_{k}$ and $y \in N_{x_{i}}$ for $i=1,2, \ldots k$. Since $\left\{N_{x_{i}}{ }^{i}=1,2, \ldots k\right\}$ covers $[0,1]$ we see that $A$ is an infinite set of functions whose elements uniformly approximate each other within $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ on the unit interval.

We have taken an infinite subset $A_{0} c A$ and shown that an infinite subset $A_{k} C A_{0} C A$ has the property that any two elements of $A$ are less than $\varepsilon$ apart according to the uniform metric on the space of continuous functions. Thus we see that A is Cauchy bounded and therefore totally bounded and compact

An alternate approach found in [1] actually constructs an $\boldsymbol{E}$ net by using a set of polygonal functions. Unfortunately, this method requires a little ingenuity and some verification. However, in the proof used in this paper the immediate consequence of the definitions of equicontinuity and uniform boundedness is the critical idea of the entire proof: that the functions of a certain infinite set of functions are uniformly close on $[0,1]$. We see that, at least in this case, Cauchy boundedness is indeed an easier condition to establish than total boundedness.
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## A PROBLEM IN EEMENTARY MATHEMATICS

## Kenneth Loewen

In the book One Hundred Problems in Elementary Mathematics Hugo Steinhaus proposes the following problem: Find numbers in the unit interval such that the first two are in different halves, the first three in different thirds, the first four in different fourths, and so on, till the first $n$ are in different $n$-ths. He gives a solution for $n=14$ and in a footnote mentions that $M$. Warmus proved that $n=17$ is the largest number for which the problem has a solution. A solution for $n=17$ will be given by any set of numbers staisfying the following inequalities:

| $0<x_{1}<1 / 17 ;$ | $11 / 13<x_{7}<6 / 7 ;$ | $8 / 17<x_{13}<1 / 2 ;$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 / 17<x_{2}<1 ;$ | $1 / 6<x_{8}<3 / 17 ;$ | $15 / 17<x_{14}<14 / 15 ;$ |
| $7 / 13<x_{3}<6 / 11 ;$ | $8 / 13<x_{9}<5 / 8 ;$ | $1 / 5<x_{15}<4 / 17 ;$ |
| $4 / 15<x_{4}<3 / 11 ;$ | $1 / 3<x_{10}<6 / 17 ;$ | $11 / 17<x_{16}<11 / 16 ;$ |
| $12 / 17<x_{5}<5 / 7 ;$ | $10 / 13<x_{11}<11 / 14 ;$ | $6 / 17<x_{17}<7 / 17$. |
| $5 / 12<x_{6}<3 / 7 ;$ | $1 / 12<x_{12}<1 / 6 ;$ |  |

## SET-THEORETIC DEFINITION OF ORDERED N-TUPLES

B. L. Madison
L. S. U., Baton Rouge

We make a distinction between the ordered $n$-tuple ( $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$ ) and the set $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$. The ordered $n$-tuple's "value" is changed if the elements are rearranged while the order of the elements has nothing to do with the "value" of the set. For example, $(1,2,3) \neq$ $(3,2,1)$, but $\{1,2,3\}=\{3,2,1\}$.

The above remarks follow from the definition that ( $\left.a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a\right)=$ $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b\right)$ if and only if $a_{1}=b_{1}, a_{2}=b_{2}, \ldots, a=b_{n}$.

On the other hand, two sets are said to be equal if they contain the same elements. More precisely, sets A and B are equal if and only if every element of $A$ is an element of $B$ and every element of $B$ is an element of A
Example 1. If $\left\{\begin{array}{l} \\ a\end{array}, b\right\}=\{x, y\}$ then either $a=x$ and $b=y$ or $b=x$ and $a=y$. Of course, both of these could occur, i.e. $a=x=b=y$. he will usually omit this trivial case.
Example 2. If $\{a\}=\{x, y \mid$ then $a=x=y$ and $\{a, x, y\}=\{x, y\}=\{a, x\}=$
$\mid a, y\}=\{a\}=\{x\}=\{y\}$ More concretely, $\{2,3,2,3\}=\{2,3\}$.
Example 3 . $\left\{\{a\}\left\{a_{3}\right\}\right\}$ is a set whose elements are themselves sets

The object here is to define an ordered $n$-tuple in terms of sets. To dispose of the case where $n=1$, we shall define $\{a\}=(a)=a$. The case for $n=2$ is more interesting

Definition 1. $(a, b)=\{\{a\},\{a, b\}\}$.
The question now is whether or not the right hand side of (I) complete and uniquely determines the ordered pair $(a, b)$. The following theorem answers this question affirmatively.

Theorem $\mathbf{1}^{(a, b)}=(x, y)$, i.e. $a=x$ and $b=y$, if and only if

Proof: Suppose $(a, b)=(x, y)$, i.e. $a=x$ and $b=y$. Then $\{a\}=\{x\}$ and $\{a, b\}=\{x, y\}$. Thus $\{\{a\},\{a, b\}\{=\{\{x\},\{x, y\}\}$.

Suppose that $\{\{a\},\{a, b\}\}=\{\{x,\{x, y\}\}$.
Two cases arise. We ignore the triviai case where $\{a\}=\{a, b\}=$ $\{x\}=\{x, y\}$.
Case 1 .
$\left.\begin{array}{rl}\{a\} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}x\} \\ a, b\end{array}\right\} \\ =\{x, y\end{array}\right\}$.

From (A) one gets $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{x}$. From (B) one gets either

$$
a=x \text { and } b=y
$$

$$
\text { or } a=y \text { and } b=x
$$( $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ )

If $(A)$ and $\left(B_{1}\right)$ then $a=x$ and $b=y$.
If $(A)$ and $\left(B_{2}\right)$ then $a=x$ and $b=y$.
Then one has $(a, b)=(x, y)$.

From (c) $a=x=y$ and from (D) $a=b=x$, which yield $a=x$ and $b=y$. Thus $(a, b)=(x, y)$. This completes the proof.

In the case where $n=3$ (3-tuple or ordered triple), several definitions will yield a result analogous to Theorem 1. For example,
Definition 2. $(a, b, c)=\{\{(a, c)\},\{(a, b),(b, c)\}\}$.
The reader can verify this definition by following the example of
Theorem 1. Attempts at other definitions will show that some apparently obvious ones are not sufficient.

Example 4. Define $(a, b, c)=\{\{\bar{a}\},\{a, b\},\{a, b, c\}\}$. Note that $(1,1,2) \neq \neq$ $(1,2,1)$, but $\{\{1\},\{1,1\},\{1,1,2\}\}=\{\{1\},\{1,2\},\{1,2,1\}\}$ since both sides reduce to $\{\{1\},\{1,2\}\}$. This shows that the definition is not sufficient.

One can extend this sort of definition to an n-tuple for any positive integer $n$. The following is a generalization of (I) and (II).

Definition $3 . \quad\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a\right)=\left\{\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-2}, a_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right.\right.\right.$
$\left.\left.\left.a_{n-1}\right),\left(a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}$.
It is not difficult to prove that $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x\right)$ if and only if $\left\{\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a n-2, a_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right),\left(a_{2}, a_{3}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}=$ $\left\{\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n-2}, x_{n}\right)\right\},\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots x_{n-1}\right),\left(x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots x_{n}\right)\right\}\right\}$.
Note that this is a recursive definition of an ordered $n$-tuple, i.e., we define an $n$-tuple in terms of sets whose elements are ( $n-1$ )-tuples.

## \#laving?
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## AN INTERESTING MAPPING OF TMO FIELDS

## Jerome M. Katz

Brooklyn College
In this article, I will prove an interesting theorem concerning a mapping of two fields, namely: If T is a one-to-one mapping of a field Fonto a field $F^{\prime}$, such that $T[a(b-1)]=T(a)[T(b)-T(1)]$, then T is an isomorphism.

In order to prove that $T$ is an isomorphism, it is sufficient to show that T preserves addition and multiplication. To do this, I will characterize addition and multiplication in terms of the operation $a(b-1)$ which will be denoted $a * b$.
Theorem 1: $a b=(a * 0)$ * $[(1 * 0) * b]$
Proof: $(\mathrm{a} * 0){ }^{*}[(1 * 0) * b]=[a(0-1)] *[1(0-1) * b]$
$=(-a) *(-1 * b)$
$=(-a) *(-b+1)$
$=(-a)(-b+1-1)$
$=(-a)(-b)=a b$
Theorem 2: $a+b=\left(b^{*} 0\right):\left[\left(a b^{-1}\right) * 0\right]$ if $b \neq 0$
Proof: $(b * 0) *\left[\left(a b^{-1}\right) * 0\right]=[b(0-1)] *\left[a b^{-1}(0-1)\right]$
$=(-b) \times\left(-a b^{-1}\right)$
$=(-b)\left(-a b^{-1}-1\right)$
$=b a b^{-1}+b$
$=a+b$
If $b=0$, we obviously have $a+b=a+0=a$.
We are given that $T(a * b)=T[a(b-1)]_{*}=T(a)[T(b)-T(1)]$; thus in order to prove that $T(a * b)=T(a) T(b)$, it is sufficient to prove that $T(1)=1^{\prime}$ where $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$ is defined to be the unity for $\mathbf{F}^{\prime}$. $F^{\prime}$.

Theorem 3: If T is a one-to-one mapping of a field Fonto a field $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ such that $T[a(b-1)]=T(a)[T(b)-T(1)]$, then $T(0)=0$

Proof: $0=0(a-1)$ for all a in F

$$
T(0)=T[0(a-1)]
$$

$T(0)=T(0)[T(a)-T(1)]$ $\qquad$
Assume $T(0) \neq 0$. Then we can cancel $T(0)$ from both sides of (1). Therefore, $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}=T(a)-T(1)$, and $T(a)=T(1)+1^{\prime}$ for all a in $F$.

Therefore, T maps every element of F into one element of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$, a
contradiction since T is one-to-one.
Therefore $T(0)=0^{\prime}$

Theorem 4: If T is as in the preceding theorem, then $\mathrm{T}(\mathbf{1})=\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$.
Proof: $1=(-1)(0-1)$
$T(1)=T(-1)[T(0)-T(1)]$
But $T(0)=0 \quad($ Theorem 3$)$
Therefore, $T(1)=-T(-1) T(1)$
But $T(1) \neq 0^{\prime}$ since $T$ is one-to-one.
Therefore, $T(-1)=-1^{\prime}$
It is now necessary to consider two cases: case I where F is not of characteristic 2 and case II where $F$ is of characteristic 2

CASE I: F is not of characteristic 2 (i.e. $1 \neq-1$ )
$-1=1(0-1)$
$T(-1)=T(1)[T(0)-T(1)]$
But $T(0)=0^{\prime}$ and $T(-1)=-1$
Therefore, $-\mathbf{1}^{\prime}=\bar{y}^{-}\left[\mathrm{T}(1){ }^{2}\right.$.
Therefore, $[\mathrm{T}(1)]^{2}=1$.
Therefore, $[\mathrm{T}(1)]^{2}=1^{\prime}$.
Therefore, since T is one-to-one and $-\mathbf{1} \neq 1, \mathrm{~T}(1)=\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$
CASE II: $F$ is of characteristic 2 (i.e. $\mathbf{1}=-1$ )
Since $T$ is onto, every element of $F^{\prime}$ has a preimage in $F$
Let a be the preimage of $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$
Then $T(a)=1$,
$T(a-1)=T(a+1)=T[1(a-1)]=T(1)[T(a)-T(1)]$
But $T(1)=-\mathbf{1}^{\prime}($ since $\mathbf{1}=-1)$.
Therefore, $T(1)[T(a)-T(1)]=\left(-1^{\prime}\right)\left[1^{\prime}-(-1)^{\prime}\right]$

$$
=\left(-1^{\prime}\right)\left(1^{\prime}+1^{\prime}\right)=-\left(1^{\prime}+1^{\prime}\right.
$$

$\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{a}+1-1)]=\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{a})[\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{a}+1)-\mathrm{T}(1)]$ $=1^{\prime}\left[-\left(1^{\prime}+1^{\prime}\right)-\left(-1^{\prime}\right)\right]=-1^{\prime}$.
Therefore, $\mathrm{T}\left(\mathrm{a}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{T}(1)$. But T is one-to-one. Therefore,
$a^{2}=1$. Therefore, $a^{2}-1=0$.
But $a^{2-1}=(a+1)(a-1)$, and this factorization is unique since a polynomial ring over a field is a unique factorizationdomain. See, for example, G. Birkhoff and S. MacLane, A Survey of Modern Algebra, 3rd edition, page 72.)

But $a+1=a-1$
Therefore, $a^{2}-1=(a-1)^{2}$. Therefore, by the factor theorem, $a=1(=-1)$ is the only root.

Therefore, in this case, $T(1)=1^{\prime}$
Note that we have also shown that $F^{\prime}$ is of characteristic 2 . * Using the fact that $T(1)=l^{\prime}$, we can conclude that $T\left(a^{*} b\right)=$ (a) T(b). The proof of this obvious statement is left to the reader

We are now ready to prove that T preserves addition and multipliation.

Theorem 5: $T(a b)=a^{\prime} b^{\prime}$ where $a^{\prime}=T(a)$ and $b^{\prime}=T(b)$.

```
Proof: T(ab)=T{(a*0)** [(1*0)*b]}
    =T(a*0) *T [(1*0)*b]
    =T(a*0)* [T(1*0)*T(b)]
    = [T(a)*T(0)]* [(T(1)*T(0)) הT(b)]
But T(0)=0',T(1)=1',T(a)=\mp@subsup{a}{}{\prime}}\mathrm{ and }T(b)=\mp@subsup{b}{}{\prime
Therefore, T(ab) = (a'*O')}[(1+\mp@subsup{0}{}{\prime})\quadb;
    = a'b' by Theorem 1
```


## Theorem 6: $T(a+b)=a^{\prime}+b^{\prime}$

Proof: It is necessary to consider the cases $b=0$ and $b \neq 0$ separately.

## If $b=0, T(a+0)=T(a)=a^{\prime}=a^{\prime}+0^{\prime}=T(a)+T(0)$

If $b \neq 0$
$T(a+b)=T\left[\left(b^{*} 0\right) *\left(\left(a b^{-1}\right) * 0\right)\right]$
$=T(b \neq 0) \quad T\left[\left\{a b^{-1}\right) \quad 0\right]$
$\left.=[T(b) * T(0)] \stackrel{x^{2}}{\left[T\left(a b^{-1}\right)\right.}{ }^{0} T(n)\right]$
But since $T$ preserves multiplication, $T\left(a b^{-1}\right)=a^{\prime} b^{1-1}$.
Therefore, $\begin{aligned} T(a+b) & =\left(b^{\prime *} 0^{\prime}\right) \div\left[\left(a^{\prime} b^{\prime-1}\right)^{*} 0^{\prime}\right] \\ & =a^{\prime}+b y \text { Theorem }\end{aligned}$
and T is a one-to-one, we know that $\mathrm{b}^{\prime} \neq 0$
Now that we have proven that T is a homomorphism, it follows from the assumption that T is one-to-one and onto that T is an isomorphism.

$$
\operatorname{Tan}^{3} x+\operatorname{Tan}^{3} y=3 \operatorname{Tan} x \operatorname{Tan} y
$$



## INDEPENDENT POSTULATE SETS FOR BOOLEAN ALGEBRA

## Chinthayamma

University of Alberta
Introduction. According to Dickson [2] a Boolean Algebra is a set $X$ such that for all a,b,c,... belonging to $X$ :
A. There is defined a (closed) binary operation "." such that

Axiom 1. a. (b.c) = (a.b).c
Axiom 2. a.b $=$ b.a
Axiom 2.
$a . b=b . a$
B. There exists an element I belonging to $X$ such that

Axiom 4. a.I = a for all a belonging to $X$
. There can be defined a function' from $X$ into itself such that Axiom 5. ( $\left.a^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=$ a for all a belonging to $X^{\prime}$
Axiom 6. a.a' : $I^{\prime}$ for all a belonging to $X$
$\begin{array}{lrl}\text { Axiom 6. } & \text { a.a' } & =I^{\prime} \text { for all a belonging } \\ \text { Axiom 7. } & a . b=t^{\prime} \text { implies } a \cdot b^{\prime}=a .\end{array}$
Dickey [1] has reduced this system of seven axioms to a system of five axioms by eliminating the axiom 3 and by replacing the axioms 1 and 2 by

## a.(b.c) $=(b . a) . c$

which can be done as long as the axiom 4 is retained
The purpose of this paper is to give two independent sets of four postulates in which axiom 4 is also eliminated using the axioms 5,6 and 7 and even though axiom 4 is eliminated the axioms 1 and 2 are replaced by a variant of axiom 1.

Sets of Postulates.
THEOREM 1. Let X be a set with an element I such that for all a,b,c,... belonging to X
A. There is defined a (closed) binary operation "." such that

```
Axiom 1'.
a.(b.c) \(=c .(a . b)\)
```


## or

Axiom 1". (a.b).c = (b.c).a
B. There can be defined a function ' from $X$ into itself such that

$$
\begin{array}{lrl}
\text { Axiom 5. } & \text { (a')' } & =\text { a for all a belonging to } X \\
\text { Axiom 6. } & a^{\prime} a^{\prime} & =I^{\prime} \text { for all a belonging to } X \\
\text { Axiom 7. } & \text { a.b } & =I^{\prime} \text { implies a.b' }=\text { a. }
\end{array}
$$

Then X is a Boolean Algebra.
Proof. It is sufficient to prove the axioms 1, 2 and 4 since the axiom $\overline{3}$ is already proved in [1].

When 1' holds replace a by b.c in it and use axioms 1' and 3 to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
(b \cdot c) \cdot(b \cdot c) & =c \cdot((b \cdot c) \cdot b) \\
b \cdot c & =b \cdot(c \cdot(b \cdot c)) \\
& =b \cdot(b \cdot(c \cdot c)) \\
& =b \cdot(b \cdot c)=c \cdot(b \cdot b) \\
& =c \cdot b
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly when 1'' holds replace c by a.b in it and use axioms 1'' and 3 to get a.b = b.a.

Thus "." is commutative in any case and this together with 1' or 1'' implies 1. Axiom 4 is also satisfied in any case as follows:

| $I^{\prime}$ | $=\mathbf{a . a}$ |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
|  | $=(a . a) . a^{\prime}$ |  | by axiom 6 |
|  | $=$ a.(a.a') |  | by axiom 3 |
|  | $=\mathbf{a . I}$ |  | by axiom 1 |
| es |  | by axiom 6 |  |
| $\left.I^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ | $=$ a |  | by axiom 7 |
| a.I | $=$ a |  | by axiom 5 |

Independence. Let $\mathrm{X}=\{0, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{I}\}$ with 1.0 : a.b $=\mathrm{b} . \mathrm{a}=0$ otherwise $\mathbf{x} . \mathbf{y}=\mathbf{x}$; with $\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{y}$ denoting any of the elements $\hat{b}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{I}$ and $\mathbf{x} . \boldsymbol{y}=\mathbf{x}$; with $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$ denoting any of the elements $\mathbf{b}, \mathrm{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{I}$ and
$0^{\prime}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{I}^{\prime}=0, \mathrm{a}^{\prime}=\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime}=a$. Then axioms 5,6 and 7 are satisfied. $0^{\prime}=I, I^{\prime}=0, a^{\prime}=b, b^{\prime}=a$. Then axioms 5,6 and 7 are satisfied
But axiom $1^{\prime}$ is not satisfied since $\mathbf{a}$.(a.I) $=a$ and $I .(a . a)=I$ and But axiom $1^{\prime}$ is not satisfied since a.(a.I) $=$ a and $1 .(a . a)$ is also not satisfied since (a.I).a $=a$ and I.(a.a): I. The $1^{\prime \prime}$ is also not satisfied since (a.I).a : a and I. (a.a)
other three axioms are proved to be independent in [2].

## REFERENCES

1. L. J. Dickey, "A Short Axiomatic System for Boolean Algebra," Pi Mu Epsilon Journal, V. 4, No. 8 (1968) p. 336.
2. L. J. Dickson, Ibid. V. 4, No. 6 (1967), pp. 253-257.

etc.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 7-4=3 \\
& 7^{2}-4^{2}=33 \\
& 57^{2}-54^{2}=333 \\
& 557^{2}-554^{2}=3333 \\
& 5557^{2}-5554^{2}=33333 \\
& \text { etc. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ROBLEM DEPARTMENT

## Edited by

Leon Bankoff, Los Angeles, California

With this issue we introduce a new problem editor. To Murray Klamkin who served in this capacity for the past ten years we give our thanks for a job well done.

The new editor is Leon Bankoff who has contributed many problems and solutions to the problem department of the He also served as joint editor of the problem department for one issue (Spring 1958). Dr. Bankorf practices dentistry in Los Angeles.

The new problem editor would like to publish solutions to all problems which have appeared in this Lomrnal, but for which solutions are listed below.

The editor.
37. (April 1952) Proposed by Victor Thébault, Tennie Sarthe, FranceFind all pairs of three digit numbers $M$ and $N$ such that $M \cdot N=P$ and $M^{\prime} \cdot N^{\prime}=P$, where $M^{\prime} \cdot N^{\prime}$ and $P^{\prime}$ are the numbers $M-N$ and $P$ written backwards. For example,
$122 \times 213=25986$
and

$$
221 \times 312=68952
$$

48. (Nov. 1952) Proposed by Victor Thébault, Tennie, Sarthe, FranceFind bases $\bar{B}$ and $B^{\prime}$ such that the number 11, 111, 111,111 consisting of eleven digits in base $B$ is equal to the number 111 consisting of three digits in Base B'. (An incorrect solution by the proposer was given in the November 1953 issue. discussion see the Spring 1958 issue.)
49. (April 1954) Proposed by Martin Schechter, Brooklyn, N. Y. Prove that every simple polygon which is not a triangle has at least one of its diagonals lying entirely inside of it.
50. (April 1955) Proposed by Victor Thébault, Tennie, Sarthe, FranceConstruct threecircles with Eiven centers such that the sum of the powers of the center of each circle with respect to the other two is the same.
51. (Spring 2956) Proposed by G. K. Horton, University of AlbertaEvaluate

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp -\left(\sqrt{(x-1)^{2}+y^{2}}+\sqrt{x^{2}+(y-1)^{2}}\right) d x d y
$$

91. (Fall 1956) Proposed by Nathaniel Grossman, California Institute of Technology Prove that

$$
\int_{d / n} \sigma\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) \phi(d)=n \cdot \tau(n)
$$

where $\tau(n)$ denotes the number of divisors of $n, \sigma(n)$ is the sum of the divisors of $n$ and $\phi(n)$ is the Euler Totient function.
102. (Fall 1958) Proposed by Leo Moser, University of Alberta Give a complete proof that two equilateral triangles of edge 1 cannot b placed, without overlap, in the interior of a square of edge 1.
120. (Spring 1960) Proposed by Michael Goldberg, Washington, D. C. 1. All the orthogonal projections of a surface of constant width have the same perimeter. Does any other surface have this property? 2. A sphere may be turned through all orientations while remaining tangent to the three lateral faces of a regular triangular prism. Does any other surface have this property? Note that a solution to 2. is also a solution to 1 .
128. (Spring 1961) Proposed by Robert P. Rudis and Christopher Sherman, AVCO RAD Given $2 n$ unit resistors, show how they may be connected using $n$ single pole single throw (SPST) and $n$ single pole double throw (SPDT) (the latter with off position) switches to obtain, between a single fixed pair of terminals, the values of resistance of $i$ and $i^{-1}$ where $i=1,2,3, \ldots, 2 n$.
Editorial Note: Two more difficult related problems would be to obtain $i$ and $i^{-1}$ using the least number of only one of the above type of switches
136. (Fall 1961) $\operatorname{Pr} \Phi$ osed by Michael Goldberg, Waghingwon, D. C. What is the smallest convex area which can be rotated continuously within a regular pentagon while keeping contact with all the sides of the pentagon? This problem is unsolved but has been solved for the square and equilateral triangle. For the square, it is the regular tri-arc made of circular arcs whose radii are equal to the side of the square. For the triangle, it is the two-arc made of equal $60^{\circ}$ arcs whose radii are equal to the altitude of the triangle.
144. (Fall 1962) Proposed by Huseyin Demit, Kandilli, Eregli, Kdz., Turkey Find the shape of a curve of length LTying in a vertical plane and having its end points fixed in the plane, such that when it revolves about a fixed vertical line in the plane, generates a volume which when filled with water shall be emptied in a minimum of time through an orifice of given area $A$ at the bottom. (Note: The proposer has only obtained the differential equation of the curve.)
166. (Fall 1964) Proposed by Leo Moser, University of Alberta Show that 5 points in the interior of a $2 \times 1$ rectangle always determine at least one distance less than sec $15^{\circ}$.

This department welcomes problems believed to be new and, as a rule, demanding no greater ability in problem solving than that of the average member of the Fraternity, but occasionally we shall publish problems that should challenge the ability of the advanced undergraduate or candidate for the Master's Degree. Solutions of these problems should be submitted on separate signed sheets within four months after publication.

An asterisk ( ${ }^{( }$) placed beside a problem number indicates that the problem was submitted without a solution.

Address all communications concerning problems to Leon Bankoff, 6360 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, California 90048.

## PROBLEMS FOR SOLUTION

205. Proposed by C. S. Venkataraman, Trichur, South India. $\frac{\text { Proposed by C. S. Venkataraman, Trichur, South India. }}{\mathrm{ABC} \text { and } \mathrm{PQR} \text { are two equilateral triangles with a common }}$ ABC and PQR are two equilateral triangles with a common in opposite senses. Prove that AP, BQ, CR are concurrent.
206. Proposed by Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California. Identify the pair of consecutive three-digit numbers each Identify the pair of consecutive three-digit numbers each
of which is equal to the sum of the cubes of its digits.
207. Proposed by Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California. Find a triangular number of the form abcdef in which def $=2 \mathrm{abc}$.
208. Proposed by Thomas Dobson, Hexham, England. Where must a man stand so as to hear simultaneously the report of a rifle and the impact of the bullet on the target?
209. Proposed by R. C. Gebhardt, Parsippany, New Jersey At each play of a game, a gambler risks $1 / \boldsymbol{x}$ of his assets at the moment. What must be the odds so that, in the long run he just breaks even?
210. Proposed by Leon Bankoff, Los Angeles, California. Three equal circles are inscribed in a semicircle as shown in the adjoining diagram. How is this figure related to one of the betterknown properties of the sequence of Fibonacci numbers?

211. Proposed by Leonard Barr, Beverly Hills, California It is known that the sum of the-distances from the incenter I to the vertices of a triangle ABC cannot exceed the fombined distances from the orthocenter $H$ to the vercices the reverse Monthly, 1960, 695; problem E 13971. Show that the reverse AI•BI•CI.
212. Proposed by J. M. Gandhi, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, $\frac{\text { Proposed by J. M. Gandhi, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, }}{\text { Canada. If }}$ $M(n)=\sum_{s=0}^{n-1} \cdot\binom{n}{s+1}\binom{n+s}{s}$
show that $(a) M(5 m+2) \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$.
(b) $H(5 m+3) \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$.

Ref: George Rutledge and R. D. Douglass, "Intergral Functions Associated with Certain Binomial Sums," Amer. Math. Monthly, 43 (1936), pp. 27-33].

SOLUTIONS
192. (Fall 1967). Proposed by Oystein Ore, Yale University. Albrecht Durer's famou's etching "Melancholia" includes the magic square

$$
\begin{array}{lrrr}
16 & 3 & 2 & 13 \\
5 & 10 & 11 & 8 \\
9 & 6 & 7 & 12 \\
4 & 15 & 14 & 1
\end{array}
$$

The boxed-in numbers 15-14 indicate the year in which the picture was drawn. How many other $4 \times 4$ magic squares are
there which he could have used in the same way? there which he could have used in the same way?
Solution by C. J. Bouwkamp, Phillips Research Laboratories, Eindhoven. Netherlands. There are exactly 32 solutions to this problem, including the Durer version shown above (with misprint from the Fall 1967 issue, page 295 corrected). Curiously, this number is contained in Durer's magic square in the míddle of the top row. The construction is as follows. There exist solutions), $2,15,14,3$ ( 6 solutions), $3,15,14,2$ ( 6 solutions), and 4, 15, 14, 1 ( 10 solutions). Further it is known that the sum of the four inner elements equals 34 . Thu the sum of the two inner elements of the first row must be 5 ( $\mathbf{2 + 3}$ or $\mathbf{1 + 4}$ ). Similarly, the outer two elements of the first row can only be 13 and 16. All in all, there are then 16 types where the upper and lower rows are fixed. The re-
maining numbers 5 through 12 are to be distributed over the two middle rows. The inner four elements can be linearly expressed in terms of one parameter. Some easy manipulation then leads to all 32 possible Durer magio squares. The complete list of solutions is shown below.

| 13 | 3 | 2 | 16 | 13 | 3 | 2 | 16 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 13 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 8 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 5 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 12 |
| 8 | 10 | 11 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 9 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 8 | 5 |
| 1 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 4 |
| 16 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 2 | 3 | 13 |
| 12 | 6 | 9 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 12 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 6 |
| 5 | 11 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 9 | 6 | 12 | 11 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 11 |
| 1 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 14 | 4 |
| 13 | 4 | 1 | 16 | 13 | 4 | 1 | 16 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 13 |
| 11 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| 8 | 9 | 12 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 5 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 5 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 7 | 6 |
| 2 | 15 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 3 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 3 |



Also solved by R. ,C. Gebhardt, Parsippany, N. J.; Edgar Karst University of Arizona; and Alfred E. Neuman, New York, N. Y.

Editorial Note: Bouwkamp verified his results by referring to two curious books in his possession, privately published by K. H. de Haas.

1) Albrecht Durer's Meetkundige Bouw van Reuter en Melencolia S 1 ,
D. van Sijn en Zonen, Rotterdam, 1932. by the same publisher in 1935. Gebhardt and Karst noted that a
magic square remains magic if the same quantity is added to each element of the square, thus extending the number of solutions if the sequence 15-14 were permitted to appear in other rows.

Detailed discussions of magic squares may be found in the two well-known classics in mathematical recreations, by Kraitchik and by well-known classics in mathematical recreations, by Knaitchik and Gardner's Second Scientific American Book of Mathematical Puzzles and Gardner's Second Scientific American Book of Mathematical Puzzles and
193. (Fall 1967). Proposed by William H. Pierce, General Dynamics, Electric Boat Division, Groton, Sonnecticutt. Two ships are steaming alone at constant velocities (course and speed). If the motion of one ship is known completely, and if only the speed of the second ship is known, what is the minimum number of bearings necessary to be taken by the first ship in order to determine the course (constant) and range (time-dependent) of the second ship? Given this requisite number of bearings, show how to determine the second ship's course and range.
"Editorial Note: This problem was suggested by problem 186 which was given erroneously. See the comment on 186 in the Fall 1967 issue.

[^0]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=p_{0}+\text { at } \cos 8 \\
& y=q_{0}+\text { at } \sin \theta
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Similarly, designate the second ship as the "target ship" and write its parametric equations of motion as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=x_{0}+v t \cos \phi \\
& y=y_{0}+v t \sin \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

in which only the speed $v$ is known. The following picture will illustrate the situation.


One Bearing Given
At time $t_{1}$, bearing $\boldsymbol{B}_{1}$ is observed. Thus,
(1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sin \beta_{1}=\frac{y_{0}-q_{0}+(v \sin \phi-a \sin \theta) t_{1}}{R_{1}} \\
& \cos \beta_{1}=\frac{x_{0}-p_{0}+(v \cos \phi-a \cos \theta) t_{1}}{R_{1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies
(2) $\quad\left(\cos \beta_{1}\right) y_{0}+\left(t_{1} \cos \beta_{1}\right) v \sin \phi-\left(\sin B_{1}\right) x_{0}{ }^{-}\left(t_{1} \sin B_{1}\right) v \cos \phi=$ $\left(\cos B_{1}\right) q_{0}+\left(t_{1} \cos B_{1}\right) a \sin 0-\left(\sin B_{1}^{1}\right) p_{0}^{0}-\left(t_{1} \sin B_{1}^{1}\right) a \cos 8$
It should be noted that (2) does not imply (1) as there are targets staisfying (2) which do not satisfy (1), namely targets whose bearing differs from $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{\mathbf{1}}$ loy $180^{\circ}$. Equation (2) is a linear

where $A, \mu$, and $y$ are free parameters subject only to the restrictions

Three Bearings Given
A third bearing $\beta_{3}$ is observed at a time $t_{3}>t_{2}>t_{1}$, giving

$$
\sin B_{3}-\underline{y_{0}-q_{0}+(v \sin \phi-a \sin 0) t_{3}}
$$

7) 

$$
\cos \beta_{3}-\underline{R_{3}}-\mathrm{P}_{0}+(\mathrm{v} \cos \phi-a \cos 0) \mathrm{t}_{3} \quad\left(\mathrm{R}_{3} \neq 0\right)
$$

which implies
( 8 ) $\quad\left(\cos \beta_{3}\right) y_{0}+\left(t_{3} \cos \beta_{3}\right) v \sin \phi^{-}\left(\sin \beta_{3}\right) x_{0}-\left(t_{3} \sin \beta_{3}\right) v \cos \phi=$
$\left(\cos \beta_{3}\right) q_{0}+\left(t_{3} \cos \beta_{3}\right) a \sin 0-\left(\sin \beta_{3}\right) p_{0}-\left(t_{3} \sin \beta_{3}\right) a \cos \theta$
The general solution of the linear equations (2), (5), and (8) is

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{0}=q_{0}+H_{1} \lambda ; v \sin \phi=a \sin 0+H_{2}^{\lambda}  \tag{9}\\
& x_{0}=p_{0}+H_{3} \lambda ; v \cos \phi=a \cos 0+H_{4}^{A}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\lambda$ is an arbitrary non-zero parameter carrying the sign of $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ (which insures that (9) satisfies (1), (4), and (7), 1 and where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{1}=\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lll}
t_{1} \cos \beta_{1} & -\sin \beta_{1} & -t 1 \sin \beta 1 \\
t_{2} \cos \beta_{2} & -\sin \beta_{2} & -t_{2} \sin \beta_{2} \\
t_{3} \cos \beta_{3} & -\sin \beta_{3} & -t_{3} \sin \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right. \\
& H_{2}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\cos \beta_{1} & \sin \beta_{1} & -t_{1} \sin \beta_{1} \\
\cos \beta_{2} & \sin \beta_{2} & -t_{2} \sin \beta_{2} \\
\cos B_{3} & \sin \beta_{3} & -t_{3} \sin B_{3}
\end{array}\right| \\
& H_{3}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\cos \beta_{1} & t_{1} \cos \beta_{1} & -t 1 \sin \beta_{1} \\
\cos \beta_{2} & t_{2} \cos \beta_{2} & -t_{2} \sin \beta_{2} \\
\cos \beta_{3} & t \cos \beta_{3} & -t_{3} \sin \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right| \\
& H_{4}=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\cos \beta_{1} & t_{1} \cos \beta_{1} & \sin \beta_{1} \\
\cos \beta_{2} & t \cos \beta_{2} & \sin \beta_{2} \\
\cos \beta_{3} & t_{3} \cos \beta_{3} & \sin \beta_{3}
\end{array}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

The solution (9) is meaningful only when $\sin \left(B_{i}-B_{j}\right) \neq 0$ in which case it can be proved that $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \not \mathrm{~F}^{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{O}$. It can further be shown that the three bearings must be such that $\sin \left(\beta_{2}-\beta_{1}\right), \sin \left(\beta_{3}-\beta_{1}\right)$, and $\sin \left(\beta_{2} \sigma_{2}\right)$ all have the same sign which is opposite that of $\mathrm{H}_{1} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$.

Solution (9) is a one-parameter family of targets in which the known target speed imposes a restriction on the parameter A The restriction is
(10) $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{H}_{4}{ }^{2}\right) \lambda^{2}+2 a\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \sin \theta+\mathrm{H}_{4} \cos \theta\right) \lambda+\mathrm{a}^{2}-\mathrm{v}^{2}=0$
which is a quadratic in $\lambda$ that may have two, one or no roots depending on the magnitude of the target speed $v$. In any event, the parameter $\lambda$ is determinable (if it exists) from (10) and the associated target motion is determinable from (9). Target range and course are easily determined from the quantities $y_{0}$,
$v \sin \phi, x_{0}$, and $v \cos \phi$. $v \sin \phi, x_{0}$, and $v \cos \phi$.

We therefore conclude that three bearings are needed to determine the target motion when target speed is known, and that this motion is obtained from (10) and then (9). Zero, one, or two All additional bearings are determinable from the three given bearings, and no further bearings add any information given bearings, and no further bearings add any information.
194. (Fall 1967). Proposed by J. M. Gandhi, University of Alberta Show that the equation

$$
x^{x+y}=y^{y-x}
$$

has no solution in integers except the solutions:

$$
\text { (i) } x= \pm 1, y= \pm 1, \text { (ii) } x=3, y=9
$$

Solution by Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California. The given equation may be written in the form.

$$
(x y)^{x}=(y / x)^{y}
$$

The left hand member is an integer, so the right hand member must be an integer also. This requires that $y=k x, k$ an integer. Thus

$$
\left(k x^{2}\right)^{x}=(k)^{k x} \text { or } x=k^{(k-1) 72}
$$

Consequently, $k$ is qdd and has the form $2 m+1, m$ an integer; or $k$ has the form $n, n$ a non-zero integer.

The complete solution is $x= \pm 1, y=-1 ; x \overline{\overline{2}}(2 m+1)^{m}$,
$y=(2 m+1)^{m+1}, m=-1,0,1,2, \ldots ; x=n^{n^{2}-1}, y=n^{n^{2}+1}$
n a non-zero integer. The proposition as stated is false.
Also solved by R. C. Gebhardt, Parsippany, N. J.; Erwin Just Bronx Community College; Bruce W. King, Burnt Hills-Ballston Lake High School; Bob Nemez; Bob Prielipp, Wisconsin State University; Phillip Singer, Michigan State University; and Gregory Wulczyn, Bucknell University.
195. (Fall 1967). Proposed by Leon Bankoff, Los Angeles, California. Math. Mag. (Jan. 1963), p. 60, contains a short paper by Dov Avisholom, who asserts without proof that in the adjoining diagram $A N=N C+C B$. Give a proof.

Solution I by Joe Konhauser, University of Minnesota. $A M C B$ is a cyclic quadrilateral, so $A M \cdot C B+M C \cdot A B=A C \cdot M B$. $A M E$, Then, Le $A M$, have $A M \cdot C B+2 M C \cdot A F=A C \cdot A M$. Triangles MAF using $A M C$, $A M \cdot A F=A M \cdot N C$. Therefore, since $A C=A N+N C$, it follows that $A M \cdot C B+2 A M \cdot N C=(A N+N C) A M$ Simplifying gives the desired result.
Solution II by Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California. From M drop a perpendicular to BC extended, meeting it at D. Draw $M A$ and MB. Since $M A=M B$ and since angle MAK $=$ arc MC/2 angle MBD, the right triangles MAN and MBD are congruent, and $A N=D C+C B$.
Also, $M N=M D$ and $M C=M C$
Therefore right triangles MNC and MDC are congruent, and $N C=D C$. Finally, $\mathrm{AN}=\mathrm{NC}+\mathrm{CB}$.


Solution III by Leon Bankoff, Los Angeles, California.
Extend $A C$ to $D$ so that $C D=C B$. If $P$ is diametrically opposite
$M$, we find that $C P$, the bisector of angle $A C B$ is perpendicular
to MC. Therefore MC bisects angle BCD, and we have angle MCB = angle MCD. So triangles MBC and MCD are congruent, and $M D=M B=M A$. It follows that the right triangles MAN and $D M N$ are congruent. Hence $A N=N D=N C+C D=N C+C B$.

Also solved by Dan Deignan, Miami University (by trigonometry); William Tally, University of Southwestern Louisiana; and Gregory Wulczyn, Bucknell University (using polar coordinates).
196. (Fall 1967). Proposed by R. C. Gebhardt, Parsippany, N. J. What is the remainder if
$x^{100}$ is divided by $x^{2}-3 x+2 ?$
Amalgam of almost identical solutions submitted by E. A. Franz, Illinois College, Jacksonville, Illinois; Erwin Just, Bronx Community College; and Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California. Division gives rise to the identity

$$
x^{100}=f(x)=(x-1)(x-2) Q(x)+A x+B
$$

here $A x+B$ is the remainder sought. The term $(x-1)(x-2) Q(x)$ can be eliminated by the substitution of either 1 or 2 for $x$. Thus, we have

$$
f(1)=A+B
$$

$$
f(2)=2 A+B
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=f(2)-f(1)=2^{100}-1 \\
& B=2 f(1)-f(2)=2-2^{100}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the remainder, $A x+B$, is equal to $\left(2^{100}-1\right) x+2-2^{100}$ or $2^{100}(x-1)-(x-2)$.
197. (Fall 1967). Proposed by Joseph Arkin, Nanuet, New Jersey.

A box contains (1600 $\left.u^{2}+3200\right) / 3$ solid spherical metal bearings. Each bearing in the box has a cylindrical hole of length . 25 centimeters drilled straight through its center. The bearings are then melted together with a loss of $4 \%$ during the melting process and formed into a sphere whose radius is an integral number of centimeters. How many bearings were there originally in the box?

Solution by Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California.
The volume of the "wedding ring" left after a cylindrical hole with axis along, a diameter is drilled through a sphere is the same as that of a sphere with diameter equal to the length of the hole. [Cf., e.g., Charles W. Trigg, Mathematical Quickies, the hole. [Cf., e.g., Charles W. Trigg, Mathemat
McGraw-Hill (1967), page 179.1 Hence we have

## $4 \pi R^{3} / 3=\left[1600\left(u^{2}+2\right) / 3\right](\pi / 6)(1 / 4)^{3}(96 / 100)$ or $R^{3}=u^{2}+2$.

The only value of $u$ satisfying this last expression is $u=5$, whereupon $R=3 \mathrm{~cm}$. and the original number of bearings was 14, 400 .

Also solved by the proposer, who noted that a treatment of the Diophantine equation $\mathbf{R}^{3}=\mathbf{u}^{2}+2$ is given in L. E. Dickson's History of the Theory of Numbers, Vol. II, p. xiv., Chelsea
198. (Fall 1967). Proposed by Stanley Rabinowitz, Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn. A semiregular solid is obtained by slicing off sections from the corners of a cube. It is a solid with 36 congruent edges, 24 vertices and 14 faces, 6 of which are regular octagons and 8 are equilateral triangles. If the length of an edge of this polytope is e, what is its volume?

Solution by Leon Bankoff, Los Angeles, California. The eight sliced-off pyramids can be assembled to form a regular octahedron of edge e, whose volume is known to be $\mathbf{e}^{3}(\sqrt{2}) / 3$. Subtracting this quantity from $\mathrm{e}^{3}(\sqrt{2}+1)^{3}$, the volume of the cube, we find that the volume of the truncated cube is $7 \mathrm{e}^{3}(3+2 \sqrt{2}) / 3$.

Also solved by Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California, and the proposer.

Editorial Note. The term "truncated cube" is more descriptive of the residual polyhedron than is the word "polytope", which is general enough to apply to points, segments, polygons, polyhedra and hyperdimensional solids.
199. (Fall 1967). Proposed by Larry Forman, Brown University, and M. S. Klamkin, Ford Scientific Laboratory. Find all integral solutions of the equation
$\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{x}+\sqrt{y}}+\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{x-\sqrt{y}}}=2$.

Solution by Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California
I. It is evident upon inspection that if $\mathrm{x}=0$, then $\mathbf{z}=0$ (and conversely), and y is indeterminate. Also, if y : 0 then $x=(z / 2)^{3}$, so $z$ is even.
II. Put $x+\sqrt{y}=m^{3}$ and $x-\sqrt{y}=n^{3}$, whereupon

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt{y} & =\left(m^{3}-n^{3}\right) / 2, \text { and } \\
x & =\left(m^{3}+n^{3}\right) / 2, \text { and } y=\left(m^{3}-n^{3}\right)^{2} / 4, z=m+n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m$ and $n$ are integers with the same parity. This

$$
\text { two-parameter solution includes (I), for } m=+n \text {. }
$$

III. Solution II is based upon the restricted assumption that $m$ and $n$ are integers. Cubing both sides of the given equation.


Let $x^{2}-y=k^{3}$, whereupon

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x=z\left(z^{2}-3 k\right) / 2, \text { and } \\
& y=\left[z\left(z^{2}-3 k\right) / 2\right]^{2}-k^{3}=\left(z^{2}-4 k\right)\left(z^{2}-k\right)^{2} / 4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Solutions not given by (II), for example, when $\mathbf{z}=3$ and $k=1$, are given by this two-parameter solution in $\mathbf{z}$ and $\mathbf{k}$, with $\mathbf{z}$ even or with $z$ and $k$ both odd, and $\mathbf{z}^{2} \neq 3 \mathrm{k}$, $z^{2} \# k$. These two restrictions are necessary for consistency with (I). The penultimate restriction is necessary because if $x=0$, then $\mathbf{z}=0$; and the last one because if $y=0$ if $\mathrm{x}: 0$, then $\mathbf{z}=0$; and the
then $\mathrm{x}=\mathbf{z}^{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{8}$, whereas if $\mathbf{z}^{2}=\mathrm{k}$, then $\mathrm{x}=-\mathbf{z}^{3}$.

Editorial Note, Klamkin cubed the given equation to obtain $2 x+3 z \sqrt[3]{x^{2}-y}=z^{3}$. Thus $\sqrt[3]{x^{2}-y}: m$ (an integer), and the desired solution is

$$
x=\left(z^{3}-3 z m\right) / 2, \quad y=\left[\left(z^{3}-3 z m\right) / 2\right]^{2}-m^{3}
$$

where $\mathbf{z}$ and $m$ are arbitrary integers, provided either $\mathbf{z}$ is even or both $z$ and $m$ are odd.
"proper" cube roots must be extracted to satisfy the original equation. For example, suppose $z=3$ and $m=3$. Then $x=0$,

Recasting this in the form $\sqrt{3} \sqrt{1}-\sqrt{3} \sqrt{\mathbf{i}}=3$, an obvious impossibility, we are confronted by the intrusion of an extraneous root. On the other hand, the solution becomes acceptable by the following procedure:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{-27}}+\sqrt[3]{\sqrt{-27}} & =27^{6}\left[(i)^{1 / 3}+(-i)^{1 / 3}\right] \\
& =\sqrt{3}\left[e^{i \pi / 6}+e^{-i \pi / 6}\right] \\
& =2 \sqrt{3} \cos (\pi / 6)
\end{aligned}
$$

$=3$.
Also solved by Edgar Karst, University of Arizona, and Gregory Wulczyn, Bucknell Universit, $;$ both of whom submitted partial solutions for integral values of $x+\sqrt{y}$.
200. (Spring 1968). Proposed by Melen M. Marston, Douglas College The arithmetic identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
6+(7 \times 36) & =6 \times(7+36), \\
10+(15 \times 28) & =10 \times(15+28), \\
12+(15 \times 56) & =12 \times(15+56),
\end{aligned}
$$

suggest the problem of finding the general solution, in positive integers, to the equation

$$
a+(b \cdot c)=a \cdot(b+c) .
$$

In particular, how many pairs of positive integers (b,c) with bec satisfy the latter equation if $a=21$ ?
Solution by Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California.
$a+b c=a(b+c)$ implies $b=a+a(a-1) /(c-a)$. The number of solutions in positive integers for any given a is equal to the number of factors of a(a-1), that is, $\mathrm{d}[\mathrm{a}(\mathrm{a}-1)]$, and the number of distinct pairs is d[a(a-1)]/2. Corresponding to the complementary factors $f$ and $g,(f<g)$, are $b=a+f, c=a+g$.
Thus when $a=21$, the twelve distinct pairs are: (22, 441), $(23,231),(24,161),(25,126),(26,105),(27,91),(28,81)$, $(31,53),(33,56),(35,51),(36,49),(41,42)$.

Also solved by Richard L. Enison, New York; Joe Konhauser, Macalester College; Graham F. Lord, Philadelphia; Gregory Wulczyn, Bucknell University; and the proposer. Two incorrect solutions were received.
201. (Spring 1968). Ppoposed by R. C. Gebhardt, Parsippany, New Jersey. Out of the nine digits $1,2,3, \ldots, 9$, one can construct 9 ? different numbers, each of nine digits. What is the sum of thes 9! numbers?

Solution by Joe Konhauser, Macalester College.
Let the 9! numbers be arranged in the usual manner for addition. In each column, each of the digits 1 through 9 will appear 8! times. The sum of the numbers in each column will be

$$
8!(1+2+\ldots+9)=8!(45)=1,814,400 .
$$

The sum of the $9!$ numbers will be
$1,814,400\left(1+10+100+\ldots+10^{8}\right)=1,814,400 \times 111,111,111$ $=201,599,999,798,400$.
Also solved by Leonard Cupingood, Newark, N. J.; Richard L. Enison; Keith Giles, Norman, Oklahoma; Robert J. Herbold,
Cincinnati; Neal H. Kilmer, Oklahoma State University; Eruce W. King, Burnt llills, N. Y.; Graham F. Lord, Philadelphia; John McNear, Lexington (Mass.) High School; Norman Pearl, Pratt Institute; Andrew E. Rouse, University of Mississippi; Catherine J. Strahl, Temple University; Charles W. Trigg, San Diego, California; Gregory Wulczyn, Bucknell University; and the proposer.
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| Stephen Chadwick | Ron Hodges | Alice Susan Price | Candace Holfe |
| Karen Doll | Paula Kieffer | Oran Allan Pringle | Stephen Wolken |
| Curran F. Douglas | Karen Ladd | Gordon F. Sieckmann | Robert A. Woodruff |


| B. F. Abell | Donald R. Haberman | Richard J. Maresh | Hiroko Sekiguchi |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Becky Achelpohl | Sr . Sharon Louise Hagen | Marvin L. Martin | Timothy T. Seubert |
| Thomas R. Achtyl | Richard A. Hammer | Sr. Marian Joan McKenna | Richard J. Shiffer |
| Linda K Allen | Gregory J. Hanker | Walter R. Hodrys | Carol A Siebert |
| Robert A. Andrea | Francis J. Hartke | Arthur R. Molner | Mary A. Siemer |
| Patricia A Austermann | Robert S. Heinicke | Kenneth J. Mraz | Donald R. Steckhan |
| Diane M Barwe | Thomas C. Hermans | Toni M. Notorangelo | Sr. Arthur Marie Straub |
| Jeanette A. Bickley | Kirby F. Heyns | Asad Said Omar | Ronald G. Tenney |
| George L. Blomster | Charles R. Hobbs | Carol J. Perrin | Sr. M. Benett The |
| Mary J. Boeckmann | Dale w. Istwan | Stephen R. Radosevich | Laura Thomas |
| David Davison | Karl J. Jindra | E'Lane Reatherford | Virginia C. Tiffany |
| Sr. Jean Lovene | Michael w. Kappel | J. L. Rhodes | Mary A. Travers |
| Jan.R. Digman | Paul J. Keliher | J. B. Riles | James M. Trull |
| Carl R. Erdmann | Kevin J. Keller | Andrew B. Rochman | Dwyla J. Tunstall |
| David A. Findley | Stephen D. Killian | Sr . Adele Marie Rothan | Gary P. Vogt |
| Marie E. Ford | Janet Kuehnle | C. L. Sabharwal | Mark H. Walsh |
| George J. Gagen | Albert Letzkus | Donald H. Sanders | Diane A Wieland |
| John R. Genser | J. M. Lin | Richard M Scherer | Eugene P. Hiesler |
| John M Dozdzialski | C. A Long | Howard H. Schmidt | Victor A. Will, Jr. |
| Donna Gronemeyer | Sr. Elizabeth James Maher | Jane M Schwendema | Richard L. Winter |

new hampshire alpha. University of New Hampshire

| Joel J. Bedor | Kathleen M. Dix | Barbara A Larsen | Linda J. Phipps |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beverly A. Bellenger | Richard P. Flynn | Donald G. Marion | James E. Rand |
| Stephen A. Blain | Leon A. Frizzell | Karla J. Marois | Robert K. Rayner |
| Alice J. Clark | Delmon B. Grapes | Kathy L. Mascia | Judith L. Simpson |
| Carol A. Clark | Stephen A Habif | Janet E. Matheson | Mark W. Springgate |
| John-A. Clark | Barbara A Hanlon | Woodrow H. McDonald, Jr. | Wayne L. Thompson |
| Robert M Corlett | Jill Happny | Kenneth W. Norton | Douglas S. Vaughan |
| Sharyn L. Cornish | Elizabeth M. Harker | Paul h. Noyes, Jr. | Kenneth $J$. Versprille |
| Jane G. Craven | Brenda M. Hodsdon | William w. Page | Billy F. Webster |
| Daryl E. Dexter | Barry F. Langer | Linda J. Pelletier |  |
| NETH JERSEY delta. Seton Hall University |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Dr. Joseph w. Andrushkiw | Madeline Carr | Stephen Demko | Dr. Charles H. Franke |
| Ralph Bravaco, | Douglas Cestone | Julia Diffily | Dr. Marcelle friedman |
| Kenneth T. Burk | Edward Delaney | Mary Drexler | James Giesen |

NWV YORK PI, State University College

| Arthur L. Desell | Danton Hilldale | Sharon Kenfield | Paul E. Miller |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Louis moldsmith | :ayne Judkins | Richard J. McKeon | Robert Scharf |

NWV YORK RHO, St. John's University

Theodore J. Beke
Veronica G. Brelinski Frances Cackowski Barbara A. Calh
illiam E. Coffey, Jr. Jane E. Gogola
John E. Colman, C. M. Nai P'o Lee
William J. Conklin Karen M. Mathison
Arthur P. Curley
Antoinette C. DiGennaro Mary Ann Miller
James J. Mulloy

George W. Pennecke Cyril Price

Mary Osip Robert Silverstone

Richard L. Enison Mark Herbach

Kenneth Hoffman
William Hutzler William Hutzle
Gayle Kessler

Paul E. Millex Robert Scharf
nev York sigma, pratt Institute
orth carolina alpha, Duke University

Daniel R. Altschuler Hitchell Jay Bassman Thomas H. Beckett Donald Herbert Bennett Dauglas Boehm

Thomas Arrington Duke Trilby M. Duncan Charles W. Dunn John Wayne Farlow Harry 0. Finklea Hilliam Fry William Fry George Carey Fuller Richard Evans Gordon Philip E. Hardee Kenneth Harvey Elizabeth Evans Hill
Frank Owen Irvine, Jr John Howard Judd, Jr.

Robert Stephen Conker Robert Cunningham John Dermon
andra Jean Dillard Levis Dozier

James D. Kemp Judith Eleanor King Paul Lafollett Michael E. Landis James Enmett Lenz, Jr. Karen Anne Maher Albert Joseph Mailman Robert Charles Marlay Jean Edith Martin Jeremy Alan HcCreary John Vick Mickey Richard Alan Nay

NEW YORK XI, Adelphi University
Neil Bernstein
Roza Weiser Brzozowski
Martha P. Dykes
Harold Hochstein

James Brehm
Angelo DiSant

NRTH CAROLINA Gamma, North Carolina State University

| Jacob C. Belin | Arthur L. Frazier | Robert C. Kirkman |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Randolph F. Bowling | Albert V. Hardy, Jr. | Larry L. Lanning |
| Jark P. Bujalski | Jerry L. Hicks | Derek A. Lindsley |
| Kenneth A. Byrd | Donald L. Hill | Gerald A. Morin |
| Kendy W. Chung | Richard J. Johnson | Robert L. Marlin |
| James J. Deese | Walter D. Johnson | Donald T. Metcalf |
| Gary R. Felmster |  |  |
| horth carolina delta, East Carolina University |  |  |
| Donald F. Bailey | Elizabeth C. Crawford | James E. Godfrey |
| Jerry L. Barnette | John B. Davis, Jr: | toward E. Hardee |
| Robert H. Barnhild | K. Joseph Davis | Leslie W. Hewett, Jr. |
| Ellenf F. Benditz | Judy L. Dees | Linda M. Hill |
| Susan E. Bradford | Judy L. Dudley | Dr. Katharine W. Hodgin |
| Glenda D. Brinson | Richard B. Duncan | Gayle D. Hudson (Mrs.) |
| W. Carroll Byrum | Donald W. Fulford | Richard W. Johnson |
| Claudia S. Coutler ( | )Jackie A. Gerard | Nancy P. Kluttz | Larry L. Lanning Derek A. Lindsley Gerald A. Morin Robert L. Marlin

horth carolina delta, East Carolina University


Carroll Byrum Donald W. Fulford
James E. Godfrey James E. Godfrey
toward E. Hardee
Leslie W. Hewett, Leslie W. Hewett, Jr. Linda M. Hill Dr. Katharine W. Hodgin
Gayle D. Hudson Gayle D. Hudson (Mrs.) Nancy P. Kluttz

Jean C. Mulvey
Marie L. Nusio Vincent S. Russott Thomas R. Sexton Ellen B. Tumninell

David K. Monroe David T. Register Cecil o. Smith, Jr William W. Taylor
Charles M. Walters Jerry S. Worley Jane C. Harren

Marsha A. Lukens
James L. Mann Audrey B. McCullen (Mrs.)
Michael L McLawhor
Rachel J. Micol
H. Thomas Parrish
Dr. Sallie E. Pence

Dr. Sallie E. Pence
Martha N. Pierpoint
Lois Carolyn Heilson Cynthia L. Peterson Thomas hllen Powers Kenneth Houston Pugh
Frederic Marsh Ramsey Page H. Smith Douglas G. Spruge Janet Paula Steel Edmund W. Thomas, Jr Anne K. J. Welsh Martin James Wert Eric Vassar Witt Dorothy Converse Young

| Dr. Tullio J. Pignani | John A. Staley, Jr. | Bonnie R. Taylor | Leslie J. Whitehurst |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Constance L. Rhem (Mrs.) | H. Ray Stinson | Leif L. Tobiasson | F. Tyrone Williams |
| Robert D. Satterfield, Jr. | Thornton G. Stovall, Jr. | Cary C. Todd | Judy B. Wilson |
| Frank H. Saunders | Margaret T. Strickland (Mrs.) | Robert E. Turner | James F, wimek |
| Miriam E. Sawyer | Linda R. Tate | Evelyn L. Wallace | Robert M. Woods |
| Dr. Katye 0. Sowell |  |  |  |

NORTH DAKOTA ALPHA, North Dakota State University

| Daniel G. Fors | Larry E. Hulett | James E. Koering | William B. Shepherd |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hariley F. Guenther | Mark J. Kulemele | Kathryn A. Mach | David 0. Torkelson |
| Jill K. Hermanson | Ronald J. Knauss | Roger D. Riveland | Jaw Ming Yang |
| Eileen R. Hoiland |  |  |  |

Eilleen R. Hoiland Kathryn A. Mach Jaw Ming Yang

OHIO BETA, Ohio Wesleyan University

| Ann R. Arbanz | Dianne N. Frye | Carol E. Msntz | Sandra L. Schulze |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Henjamin Barnes | Nancy Githens | Charles Pfleeger | Gary Smeal |
| Charlene M. Cort | Raymond T. Jackson | Douglas A. Regula | Charles R. Tate |
| Richard G. Cromell | Joyce M. Jones | Carol E. Rice | James H. Whitman |
| Joan E. Ericson | Hilliam Manogg | Allan C. Rosser | Kinberley L Zuzelo |
| Thomas G. Eshelman |  |  |  |


| Thomas G. Bierley <br> Jo A. Davis <br> Margaret M. B. Donlan | Greg Dziegielewski <br> Timothy F. Eller | Garry L. Miller <br> Anna L. Robins | Paula R. Stevens Barbara J. Taylor |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OHIO 2ETA, University of Dayton |  |  |  |
| Mary Ellen Baracket | Thomas S. Hornbach | Jane Lusienski | Richard E. Schmotzer |
| Frank G. Burianek | Ruth Ann Kaule | Theodore F. McFadden | Sam Slowinski |
| Gregory P. Campbell | Joan Kilsheimer | Kathleen Arme McRaven | Gary Charles Staas |
| James Charles Carruth | Judy Kittelman | James Middendorf S. M. | Donna Jean Walters |
| Joseph John Chmiel | Michael Laubacher | Ralph George Renneker | Rob Wenning |
| Kathleen DeWald | James Arville Loudernilk | Maxy Beth Schindler | Richard Wittuauer |

OhIO GAMMA, University of Toledo

Reky Ann Hofmann
OHIO ETA, Cleveland State University

| Roy L. Boling | Barry Lowe | Joseph A. Oswald | Frank Robert Tamburello |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Louis Dankovich | Kenneth R. Mazer | William A. Patti | John F. Toth |
| Kenneth Earl Goltz ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | william c. McCabe | Antanas Vytautas Razgaitis | James Edward Triner |
| Robert H. Grau | James A. Murphy | Steven J. Ring | Jan Karl VanVoorhis |
| Jay Theodore Hargreaves | Julianna Hadas | Harry Earl Schweitzer | Frank J. Vargo |
| Carol A. Luczak |  |  | Edward Allen Winsa |
| OHIO IOTA, Denison Unive | sity |  |  |
| Carol Jane Anderson | Andrew Harley French | Michael Obletz | Carol Ann Voegele |
| John Edward Brewer | Dennis Ted Fujka | Roswell Bond Paine, Jr. | Loretta Ann Whitney |
| Craig Ridgway Dripps | Janet Gail Hienton | Juliet Evans Sears |  |

OKLAHOMA ALPHA. Universitv of Oklahoma

| Mark E. Adams | Mary C. Chronister | Richard S. Dowell | Keith E. Giles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Susan Basolo | Judy A. Cohenour | Clarence Durand | Sue A. Goodman |
| Thomas E. Biery | Laird R. Cormell | Edward D. Einhorn | Mischa Gorkuscha |
| Warren S. Butler | Fioyd E. Davis, Jr. | Jean M. Ezell | JoAne S. Growney |
| Thomas E. Carpenter | David A. Doughty | Rodney R. Foster | Wallace J. Growney |


| James R. Harrison | Dorella F. Logan | Laurel A Shannon | Gloria A Sullivan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Joe L. Hill | Fred M. Hayes, Jr. | Peter R. Skwerski, Jr. | Pamela Sutton |
| John E. Hosuland | Curtis A Mitchell | Wayne E. Smith, Jr. | Michael C. Svec |
| Gilbert E. itumprey | Richard K. Olney | Terry C. Snow | James J. Tattersall |
| Claude H. Johnson, Jr. | Stanley G. Oskatip, Jr. | Linda L. Southern | Steve L. Terry |
| Kathleen A Johnston | Shrikant Panwalkor | J. David Stevens | Deborah C. Tung |
| Benigro B. Jorque | Joe Ramsey | Mitchell D. Stucker | Cindy L Williams |
| Chuan-fang Kung | William L. Rowan | Halter P. Stuermann | Don M. Hoodford |
| Pamela B. Lay |  |  |  |
| OKCAHOMA BETA, Oklahoma | State University |  |  |
| Jack L. Barnes | Diane M. McDaniel | Martha N. Parker | Gerry C. Wiley |
| Ann C. Ferry | John M. Moore | Ann E. Pill |  |
| OREGON GAMA, Portland | State College |  |  |
| Rutbanne Benrett <br> Mary Craven | Jerry Jefferies | Jacine Owen | Harrison T. Platt Margaret Ann Riley |

pennsylvania delta, Pennsylvania State University

| Wendell L. Anderson | David W. Crotty |
| :--- | :--- |
| Michael C. Balay | Richard Curcio |
| Roy C Bartholomae | David Scott Cavis |
| Millicent Anne Beaver | Michael R Delozier |
| David F. Becker | Lucinda A Duke |
| Claude A. Bench | Peter K. Eck |
| Karen A. Bienstock | Wilfred C. Fagot |
| James E. Bonerigo | Karl N. Fleming |
| Gerald L. Boyer | Victor A. Folvarczny |
| Howard S. Budd | Ashok V. Godarbe |
| Martin E. Budd | Robert T. Goettge |
| Charles A. Cable | Gordon A Griffin |
| Hilliam J. Ceriani | Charles W. Hamby |
| James T. Clenny | Charles P. Harrison |
| Robert Cowles | Charleen Hartsock |
| Lana S. Creal | Joseph M. Hoffman |


| James A Hunsicker | Donald C. Miller |
| :--- | :--- |
| Konanur G. Janardan | James C. Miller |
| S. W. Joshi | Leonard Miller |
| Dennis L. Kershner | Joseph Omaggio |
| Timothy J. Killeen | Walter T. Pazlewicz |
| Kim D. Klitgord | Michael F. Petock |
| Arthur A Knopp | Linda J. Pursell |
| George R Knott | Arthur R. Rand |
| Margret F. Kothanan | Glenn W. Sc-effler |
| Hanna R. Lattman | Mickolas J. Sepe |
| William C. Leipold | Victor Tang |
| Hyrie London | Alan Thistleuaite |
| Daniel E. Lutz | P. Timothy Wendt |
| Charles F. Hagnani | Michael J. Wingert |
| John W. MeCornick | Thomas J. Korgul |
| John J. Meister |  |

PENNSYLVANIA ETA, Franklin and Marshall College

| David Joseph Burr | George Lee Greenwood |
| :--- | :--- |
| Kenneth Roberts Fox | Darrell Eugene Haile |
| Robert Thomas Glassey | Marc Craig Hochberg |
| Steven Gnepp | Randall Marvin King |
| Roy Goldman |  |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Robert Mark Magrisso } & \text { Daniel Robinson } \\
\text { Walter D. Hendenhall } & \text { George M. Rosenstein } \\
\text { Lee Roy Phillips } & \text { Larry Fay Walker } \\
\text { M. John Plodinec } & \text { William Lewis Wass } \\
& \text { Kenneth R. Welsh }
\end{array}
$$

Roy Goldman
Marc Craig Hochberg

PENNSYLVANA IOTA, Villanova University
Robert Rigolizzo

PEMISYLVANIA THETA, Drexel Institute of Technology
Richard̈ A. Bogen
Dennis F. Melvin
Mary B. Sassaman

RHODE ISLAND ALPHA, University of Rhode Island
Harold Vincent Cardoza Mary K. Hutchinson
Jackson Intlehouse Rncent Anthony D'Alesand Frank Rocco Dellofacona P. S. Kamala Gangaran S. Ladde

Joseph 0. Luna
Darwin D. Harcoux
Christopher A. Nerone
Irene S. Palmer

[^1]SOUTH CAROUNA ALPHA, University of South Carolina

| John Savid Asman | Hilliam ${ }^{\text {. }}$. Jones | "ayne 7. "oberts | Thomas Terry |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| William W. Foster | Patricia Fletcher Joye | Bob Robertson | William M. Weiis, III |
| Sherry Gomez | Lawrence Gregory Layman | Lwarence M. Robinson, Jr. | Stephen Hamilton West |
| Horman H. Haskell, Jr. | Wayne Metzger | Donald Eric Scott | Pamela Wofford |
| Dru R. Hoard | Sara A Ramos | Barry L. Shuler | Ben W. Wright |
| Vickie Estelle Hodges | Lenore Grace Fandall | John Thomas Snipes |  |

SOUTH DAKOTA ALPHA, University of South Dakota

| Dean Anstad James H Battey | Stuart W. Doescher Dale S. Dutt | Ronald J. Light | Nicholas J. Scheuring |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| James, H. Battey | Dale S. Dutt | James H. Maher | Dennis L. Schladweiler |
| Charles L Bare | Arnold H. Fockler | Dona M. Miller | Jay G. Short |
| Carl M. Benson | Richard H. Forman | David H. Moen | Steve J. Solum |
| Darold Borchardt | Arie Gaalswy | Peggy Morgen | John If. Stene |
| Paul C. Burgan | Thomas J. Graf | Ellen D. Oliver | Gary 0. Stensaas |
| Herle K. Carlson | Judy A. Halverson | Larry R Osthus | Gerald R. Straney |
| Luey M. Clausen | James R Horning | Jane E. Phillips | Jerri L. Swoyer |
| Claire H. Dirks | Hartha B. T. Iken | Craig D. Porter | Tamara S. Tieman |
| Arlat R. Dekock | Thomas w. Johnson | Ernest Richards | Donna R. Vonrak |
| Lynn K. Dobson | Janice A. Kehn | Jams R Scharff | Ruth Hedgrood |
| temmesser alpha, Memphis State University |  |  |  |
| Carolyn T. Campbell | Mary J. Dye | Judy A. Fowler | Charlotte A. McDonald |
| Timothy R Campbell | Harmon D. Essary | Jean I. Higley | Arthur G. Terhune |
| Anthony E. Caudel |  |  | Nancy E. Wagner |

TEXAS ALPHA, Texas Christian University

| Javid A. Archer | Barbara J. Heckendorn | Janice C. Peterson | Hisahiro Tamano |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Alfonso G. Azpeitia | Stanley B. Higgins | J. V. Petty | Sandra L. Thomas |
| Robert D. Camitchel | Nom Howes | Georgia L. Sims | Shirley Smith Tucker |
| E. E. Dean | Michal Tyng Melvin | Walter J. Slade, Jr. | Sandra Williams |
| Gilliam R. Haughey | Joan A. Yutt | James D. Smith | Darlene Yarger |

VIRGIIIIA BETA, Virginia Polytechnic Institute
James . Brewer
William Douglas Cwk,
Sterling T. Dibrell
Berter ly Jane Fishow
John Robert Fogelgren
Uarry, Thomas Frazier

| Jams Tate Gabbert, Jr. | Alexander G. Kliefort |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jacques David LaMarre |  |
| Sandra Lee Gill | Pei Liu |
| I. J. Goed | Claude Boyd Loadholt |
| Jee W. Johnson | Gichael Anthony Keenan Franklin Heyers |

washingtor ALPHA, Washington State University

| Zoger H. Aidersen | Lee Edlefsen | Michael A. Kilgore |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parla J. Armstrong | Wayne J. Erickson | Celia Klotz |
| Douglas M. Bell | Nancy Farley | Dennis Luiten |
| Don J. Bradley | Donald J. Ferre | Gene Hiller |
| ziehard Brown | Margaret Fox | Vern His |
| D. Eushaw | Gordon R. Gray | Ronald 0. Newlon |
| Demis E. Carlson | John R. Hastings | Julian W. Pietras |
| Alian M. Carstens | Pat Healey | Harley R. Potampa |
| Roger L. Clement | E1liott Henry | Rod S. Raguso |
| c. Allen Cole | David Johnson | Hichael R Riches |
| Resbert R. Collison | Hai-On Kan | Kanneth D. Riley |
| Joe E. Cutie | Sard! Kates | Alan Roecks |

Lyle Russell Richard S. Sandmeyer Cheryl Sapp.
erome Schmierer
Dennis G. Schneider
olleen Supler
Joe Turon
Dwain C. Wegrer
Robert E. Hes

John Cannon Francix Cheng

WASHINGTON EPSILON, Gonzaga University

Kathleen Bonck Gary Gones
James Clark
Paula Cowley
Michael Epton
Prof. John Firkins
Prof. Aaron Goldman

Raymond Hart
Tom Henry
Michael Keyes
Terry Krize

Graham Maidment hof. Paul McWilliams Oscar Montes-Rosales Prof. Zane Motteler John Nelsen

Robert Michale Nuess John Rawson
Prof. Donald R. Ryan
Robert Stoffregen
Patricia Van House

WEST VIRGINIA ALPHA, University of West Virginia

Sandra J. Beken
William A. Biese
Elizabeth N. Caldwell
Anand M. Chak
James R. Dosier
Addison M. Fischer

Elizabeth W. Frye Carol E. Haddock Francis H. S. Hall Jean A. M. Hall Robert E. Harper Henry K. Hess

Alonzo F. Johnson Patricia A. H. Jones Robert J. Kumza Samuel K. McDaniel William L. Peters James B. Reese

Glenn W. Rock Judy A. Stanko Curtis A. Staton Patricia E. Stemple Karen A. Toothman Edward A. Waybright James E. Zaranek

## Mark Sheingorn

 Phillip F. Stambough Martin Strassberg Mary W. WhiteNEW CHAPTERS OF PI MU EPSILON

| ARIZONA BETA 139-1968 | Prof. Matthew J. Hossett, Dept. of Mathematics Arizona State University, Tempe 85281 |
| :---: | :---: |
| MINNESOTA GAMMA 133-1968 | Prof. Wayne Roberts, Dept. of Hathematics, Macalester College, St. Paul 55101 |
| MISSISSIPPI ALPHA 136-1968 | Dr. T. A. Bickerstaff, Dept. of Mathematics, University of Mississippi, University 38677 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NEW JERSEY DELTA } \\ & 137-1968 \end{aligned}$ | Dr. Marcelle Friedman, Dept. of Mathematics, Seton Hall University, South Orange 07079 |
| NEW JERSEY EPSILON 138-1968 | Miss Eileen L. Poiani, Dept. of Mathematics, St. Peter's College, Jersey City |
| NORTH CAROLINA DELTA 135-1968 | Prof. Robert N. Woodside, University of East Carolina, Greenville 27834 |
| WASHINGTON EPSILON 134-1968 | Dr. Zane C. Motteler, Dept. of Mathematics, Gonzaga University, Spokane 99202 |

Triumph of the Jewelers Ant YOUR BADGE - a triumph of skilled and highly trained Balfour
craftsmen is a steadfast and dyrimic symbol in a changing world. Official Badge Official nome piece key ortimal the piece key-pion Official three-piece key-pin WRITE FOR INSIGNIA PRICE LUST.

OFFICIAL JEWELER TO PI MU EPSILON



[^0]:    Solution by the Proposer. Designate the first ship, whose
    motion is known completely, as "own ship" and write its parametric equations of motion as

[^1]:    lexander S. Papadopoula
    A. N. V. Rao

    Ronald C. Schies
    Carolee S. Hashburn

